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### Breakout Groups

All participants were assigned to seven breakout groups focused on:

1. Governance
2. Data Standards & Interoperability
3. Architecture & Data Exchange
4. Privacy & Ethical Issues
5. Methods
6. Unconventional Approaches
7. Incorporating Patient Reported Outcomes into Electronic Data

### Recommendation Development

Each group was tasked with generating 3-4 actionable recommendations that support PCORI’s mission. Recommendations included the following dimensions:

1. Time Horizon
2. Cost
3. Feasibility
4. Criticality of PCORI’s Role
5. Efficiency of Resource Usage

### Voting Process

Each group generated a “poster” showcasing its recommendations. The posters were displayed and all participants, using a controlled number of positive and negative votes, supported or opposed recommendations.

### Ranking Process

This morning, we will discuss the top recommendations along with any recommendations which appeared to be polarizing.
# Top 10 Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Recommendation Name</th>
<th>Green Votes</th>
<th>Red Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Define mechanism to authorize use of data for PCOR purposes: a) Policies to vet and approve use of network resources and b) define expectations of data holder and networks</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Sponsor and advocate for refinement and curation of clinical information models and associated value sets, common data elements that <strong>merge clinical and research requirements</strong></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Sponsor and advocate for development of <strong>data standards</strong> about the <strong>care environment</strong> in order to facilitate the analysis of care options</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><strong>Identify</strong> and <strong>address barriers</strong> and <strong>incentives</strong> for developing and using <strong>PROs in healthcare systems and PHRs</strong></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><strong>Develop methods to develop an “n=1” research environment</strong> to investigate impact on patient experiences using diverse eData</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Top 10 Recommendations (cont’d)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Recommendation Name</th>
<th>Green Votes</th>
<th>Red Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><strong>Ask patients</strong> what they think are the most important research questions** and create a transparent, dynamic list of <a href="#">PCORI research priorities</a>, with explanations that incorporate patient and expert input</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Architecture and Exchange: Develop 360° Patient-centered longitudinal view, Identity Mgt, Data Curation</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Improve outcomes and advance knowledge for patients, clinicians and researchers with <a href="#">Rapid Learning Networks</a></td>
<td>44</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Be the national leader to ensure meaningful and representative patient engagement in research networks’ governance (ex. ID people, train people, advise, etc.)</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Establish <a href="#">PCORI criteria for governance</a> for focus on: a) meaningful and representative patient engagement, b) data stewardship, c) dissemination of information, and d) sustainability</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Lowest Ranking Recommendations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rank</th>
<th>Recommendation Name</th>
<th>Green Votes</th>
<th>Red Votes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Seek to broadly understand patient benefit</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Understand which groups engage and why to ensure inclusiveness</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Conduct survey of initiatives for implementation of PROs in healthcare systems &amp; PHRs</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Explore IRB models that facilitate patient engagement</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Support methods to develop a portfolio of studies to balance the eData trade-off and developing methods to assess level of control of confounding in the data</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Develop a manual for EHR based research reporting standards</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Thank you for your participation!