Board of Governors Meeting
via Teleconference/Webinar

July 21, 2015
12:00-1:30 p.m. ET
Welcome and Introductions

Grayson Norquist, MD, MSPH
Chair, Board of Governors

Joe Selby, MD, MPH
Executive Director
## Agenda

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Agenda Item</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12:00-12:10</td>
<td>Call to Order, Roll Call, and Welcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consent Agenda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:10-12:50</td>
<td>Consider for Approval:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Slate of PCORnet Phase II CDRN Awards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Slate of PCORnet Phase II PPRN Awards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12:50-1:25</td>
<td>Evaluation Update: PCORI Application Process</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:25-1:30</td>
<td>Annual Meeting Update</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1:30</td>
<td>Wrap Up and Adjournment</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consent Agenda:
Minutes of the June 16, 2015 Board Meeting
CDR Advisory Panel Leadership
Advisory Panel on Communication and Dissemination Research Proposed Leadership

CHAIR: Lauren McCormack, PhD, MSPH – Researcher
Director, Center for Communication Science, RTI International
• Vast experience in communication and dissemination research that promotes patient-centered care, informed decision making, and behavior change
• Led a systematic review of the literature for AHRQ that focused on examining the comparative effectiveness of strategies to communicate and disseminate evidence-based information
• Adjunct Associate Professor at the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

CO-CHAIR: Danny van Leeuwen, MPH, RN, CPHQ – Patients, Caregivers, and Patient Advocates
Vice President, Quality Management, Advocates, Inc.
• Nationally recognized nurse leader and advocate for family caregivers
• Led the Patient Family Experience initiative for Boston Children’s Hospital, serves on HIMSS Connected Patients Committee, member of the Society for Participatory Medicine
• Hosts a weekly blog with more than 2,000 registered users
Board Vote

Call for a Motion to:

- Approve each of the Motions on the Consent Agenda, as reflected

Call for the Motion to Be Seconded:

- Second the Motion
  - If further discussion, may propose an Amendment to the Motion or an Alternative Motion

Voice Vote:

- Vote to Approve the Final Motion
  - Ask for votes in favor, opposed, and abstentions
PCORnet Phase II Clinical Data Research Networks (CDRN) and Patient-Powered Research Networks (PPRN)

Joe Selby, MD, MPH
Executive Director

Rachael Fleurence, PhD
Program Director, CER Methods and Infrastructure
PCORnet Phase II Goals

- Expand on the infrastructure built during Phase I of PCORnet by providing funding to CDRNs and PPRNs (existing and new)
- Complete construction of a large, highly representative national network for conducting clinical research
- Demonstrate more efficient conduct of multinetwork observational and interventional research studies using the PCORnet infrastructure resources
- Support a high volume of observational and interventional research
PPRN and CDRN PFA Goals

- Highly engaged patients, researchers, clinicians, and health systems participate in network governance and research topic generation
- Analysis-ready standardized data, use of the PCORnet Common Data Model, and preserving strong privacy and data-security protections
- An infrastructure for supporting clinical trials embedded within network delivery systems
- An oversight framework that fosters public trust in research
- A collaborative community that attracts a diverse set of researchers, funders, and other networks
- Sustainable research networks
PCORnet Phase II Merit Review

- PCORI received 42 applications (15 CDRNs; 27 PPRNs)
- A preliminary online review was conducted by:
  - External reviewers
  - Internal reviewers (program and other Science, CMA, Engagement staff)
- An in-person review was conducted by PCORI staff
- All reviewer feedback was utilized in the programmatic review of the applications
Merit Review Criteria

- **Successful achievement of each of the three major Phase I goals** by the start of Phase II (for Phase I networks) or at six months post-contract award (for new applicants)
- Ability and credible work plans to meet the various aspects of the **Phase II goals**
- Evidence of a solid and diverse staffing and management plan and budget
Consider for Approval: Slate of PCORnet Phase II Clinical Data Research Networks (CDRN) Awards

Joe Selby, MD, MPH  
Executive Director

Rachael Fleurence, PhD  
Program Director, CER Methods and Infrastructure
Summary of Proposed CDRN Slate

• Responsive applications received: 15
  • Currently funded CDRN Phase I Projects: 11
  • New CDRN applications: 4
• Recommended slate includes **13 networks** out of 15 responsive applications (86.7%)
  • Phase I applications: 11
  • New applications: 2
## Phase II CDRN Slate Summary (1 of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network (Phase I Networks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A PaTH Towards a Learning Health System (PaTH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerating Data Value Across a National Community Health Center Network (ADVANCE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chicago Area Patient Centered Outcomes Research Network (CAPriCORN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Greater Plains Collaborative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaiser Permanente &amp; Strategic Partners Patient Outcomes Research to Advance Learning (PORTAL)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana Clinical Data Research Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-South Clinical Data Research Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New York City Clinical Data Research Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patient-centered SCAlable National Network for Effectiveness Research (pSCANNER)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEDSnet: A Pediatric Learning Health System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scalable Collaborative Infrastructure for a Learning Healthcare system (SCILHS)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All proposed projects, including requested budgets and project periods, are approved subject to a programmatic and budget review by PCORI staff and the negotiation of a formal award contract.*
### Phase II CDRN Slate Summary (2 of 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network (New Phase II Network)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OneFlorida Clinical Research Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Patient-Centered Network of Learning Health Systems (LHSNet)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All proposed projects, including requested budgets and project periods, are approved subject to a programmatic and budget review by PCORI staff and the negotiation of a formal award contract.
All proposed projects, including requested budgets and project periods, are approved subject to a programmatic and budget review by PCORI staff and the negotiation of a formal award contract.
Board Vote

Call for a Motion to:

• Approve funding for the recommended slate of awards from the PCORnet Phase II CDRN PFA

Call for the Motion to Be Seconded:

• Second the Motion
  • If further discussion, may propose an Amendment to the Motion or an Alternative Motion

Roll Call Vote:

• Vote to Approve the Final Motion
  • Ask for votes in favor, opposed, and abstentions
Consider for Approval: Slate of PCORnet Phase II Patient-Powered Research Networks (PPRN) Awards

Joe Selby, MD, MPH
Executive Director

Rachael Fleurence, PhD
Program Director, CER Methods and Infrastructure
Summary of Proposed PPRN Slate

- Responsive applications received: 27
  - Currently funded PPRN Phase I Projects: 18
  - New PPRN applications: 9
- Recommended slate includes **21 networks** out of 27 responsive applications (77.8%)
  - Phase I applications: 16
  - New applications: 5
### Phase II PPRN Slate Summary (1 of 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network (Phase I Networks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABOUT Network (American BRCA Outcomes and Utilization of Testing PPRN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR-PoWER (ARthritis Partnership with Comparative Effectiveness Researchers) PPRN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The CCFA Partners Patient Powered Research Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collaborative Patient-Centered Rare Epilepsy Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Engaged Network for All</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuation of the NephCure Kidney Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The COPD Patient-Powered Research Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DuchenneConnect Registry Network (DCN)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All proposed projects, including requested budgets and project periods, are approved subject to a programmatic and budget review by PCORI staff and the negotiation of a formal award contract.
## Phase II PPRN Slate Summary (2 of 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network (Phase I Networks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Health eHeart Alliance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ImproveCareNow Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MoodNetwork</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Multiple Sclerosis Patient-Powered Research Network, iConquerMS™</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patients, Advocates, and Rheumatology Teams Network for Research and Service (PARTNERS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phelan-McDermid Syndrome Data Network (PMS_DN)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PI CONNECT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Vasculitis Patient-Powered Research Network (V-PPRN)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All proposed projects, including requested budgets and project periods, are approved subject to a programmatic and budget review by PCORI staff and the negotiation of a formal award contract.
## Phase II PPRN Slate Summary (3 of 3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network (New Phase II Networks)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Community and Patient-Partnered Centers of Excellence for Behavioral Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Fox Insight Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interactive Autism Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The National Alzheimer’s &amp; Dementia Patient &amp; Caregiver-Powered Research Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population Research in Identity and Disparities for Equality Patient-Powered Research Network (PRIDE-PPRN)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All proposed projects, including requested budgets and project periods, are approved subject to a programmatic and budget review by PCORI staff and the negotiation of a formal award contract.
All proposed projects, including requested budgets and project periods, are approved subject to a programmatic and budget review by PCORI staff and the negotiation of a formal award contract.
Board Vote

Call for a Motion to:

- Approve funding for the recommended slate of awards from the PCORnet Phase II PPRN PFA

Call for the Motion to Be Seconded:

- Second the Motion
  - If further discussion, may propose an Amendment to the Motion or an Alternative Motion

Roll Call Vote:

- Vote to Approve the Final Motion
  - Ask for votes in favor, opposed, and abstentions
Evaluation Update

**PCORI Application Process**

Diane Bild, MD, MPH
Senior Program Officer, Clinical Effectiveness Research

Laura Forsythe, PhD, MPH
Associate Director, Evaluation and Analysis
Presentation Overview

- Role of the Application Enhancement Workgroup
- Evaluation Plan
- Selected Findings to date
- Recommendations
LOIs, Applications, and Awards: First 4 Cycles of Pragmatic Clinical Studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Letters of Intent</th>
<th>Letters of Intent Accepted</th>
<th>Applications</th>
<th>Applications that were Resubmissions</th>
<th>Awards</th>
<th>Awards that were Resubmissions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2014</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall 2014</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter 2015</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spring 2015</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Awards to be announced*
Application Enhancement Workgroup

- Convened by the Scientific Oversight Committee (SOC) to develop recommendations to improve the quantity of high-quality applications submitted to and funded by PCORI
- Will collaborate with staff evaluation efforts and ongoing process improvement initiatives
Application Enhancement Workgroup

- SOC members
  - Steve Lipstein, Rick Kuntz, Bob Zwolak
- PCORI staff members
  - Diane Bild, Lauren Fayish, Laura Forsythe, Hal Sox
- Frequent collaboration with
  - James Hulbert (Contracts Manager, Pre-Award), Tsahai Tafari (Associate Director, Merit Review) and other PCORI staff in Engagement, Operations, and Science
Evaluation Plan
Theoretical Framework -- a series of conditions are necessary for high quality applications:

1. Important CER questions
2. Well-trained researchers
3. Perception of PCORI as a good fit
4. Policies that facilitate submissions

Excellent CER applications
Application Enhancement Workgroup Framework

Workgroup Strategy:

1. Hypotheses for examination
2. Issues with evidence
3. Proposed improvements
Sample Hypotheses Investigated

- The requirement for engagement is challenging and not always well understood
- The effort to apply is high relative to the likelihood of award
- Timelines between announcement of award opportunities and LOI and application due dates are short
Selected Findings to Date
Information Collection

- Program staff review of LOIs and proposal critiques
- PCORI Stakeholder surveys (patients, caregivers, clinicians, researchers)
- Applicant survey
- Reviewer survey
- Reviewer focus groups
- Merit Review score analyses
- Data collection on engagement (awardees and partners)

PCORI Staff & Committees

For example,
- Application Enhancement Workgroup
- Merit Review Workgroup
Some Evidence: Applicants embrace engagement but find the requirements challenging

PCORI Researcher Survey (N=508):
• Most researchers are interested in engagement (63% very interested)
• Researchers who applied to PCORI (N = 272) rated PCORI’s requirement for engagement as more difficult than adherence to Methodology Standards and meeting other requirements
• “What could be done to encourage researchers to involve patients and/or caregivers as partners?”
  • Increase funds available (75%)
  • Train researchers on engagement (71%)
  • Train stakeholders for engagement (67%)
  • Resources for matching with partners (66%)
Some Evidence: Applicants embrace engagement but find the requirements challenging

Qualitative themes from PCORI Applicant Survey:

- Engagement requires resources
- Meaningful and reciprocal partnerships take time
- Research partnership training needs
- Need for more clarity regarding definitions and roles, and guidance on building partnerships

Illustrative quotes:

“Stakeholder engagement takes time and resources to do properly... Most investigators and stakeholders do not have these resources and just don't even try to apply because of this.” [Fall 2014 Broad]

“It would be helpful to see more examples, as well as to have clearer expectations for what might be a ‘minimum’ level of stakeholder engagement... It honestly is the one piece for which I have no clue regarding the quality of my work.” [Spring 2014 Broad]
Some Evidence: Applicants think the effort to apply is high relative to the likelihood of award

PCORI Applicant Survey: How difficult was it for you to meet the PCORI application requirements, relative to your experience with other funding organizations?

*Data from Broad funding cycles*
Some Evidence: Applicants think the timelines are compressed

**PCORI Applicant Survey:** “I had adequate time to prepare my application between the funding announcement and the deadline for proposal submission”
Additional Considerations

• The workgroup is discussing the potential effect of
  • Award size (direct costs)
  • PCORI’s indirect rate cap
Next Steps

• Workgroup will recommend process improvements to be implemented by Board committees and appropriate staff
• Workgroup will perform additional evaluations to inform future recommendations, including:
  • Describe the landscape of CER researchers and reasons for not applying to PCORI
  • Determine reasons LOIs and applications are not selected
  • Identify challenges and opportunities for application process improvement
Summary

• Application Enhancement Workgroup
  • Identifying barriers to and facilitators of receiving high-quality applications
  • Serves as a point of coordination and strategy for facilitating high-quality applications
  • Developed a framework to identify issues to address
  • Will continue to explore hypotheses and recommend further actions
Discussion
2015 PCORI Annual Meeting: Progress in building a Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Community

Bill Silberg
Director of Communications

Orlando Gonzales, MPA
Chief of Staff, Engagement
Session Structure

Plenaries:

Tuesday, Oct. 6 (afternoon)

• Dissemination and Implementation of CER/PCOR – Co-hosted with AHRQ

Wednesday, Oct. 7

• Opening Plenary – State of CER/PCOR: Keynote speaker and multi-stakeholder panel on the state of CER/PCOR and progress on building a patient-centered research community

• Lunch Plenary – Patient Engagement in Research: Moderated discussion with research teams and patient partners

Thursday, Oct. 8

• Breakfast Plenary – Open Science/Data Access and Sharing: In development

Breakouts:

• Results of our earlier funded projects; promising work in progress

• Organized by priority/program, cross-cutting themes from portfolio analysis
Joint Session on Dissemination and Implementation

Co-hosted by PCORI and AHRQ/AcademyHealth

- **Opening and Welcome**: Joe Selby and Rick Kronick will review the roles of PCORI and AHRQ in CER/PCOR dissemination and implementation efforts
- **Two Multi-Stakeholder Panels**: Including scholarly journals, health systems, research leaders, clinicians, nurses, medical societies, patient/caregiver organizations, academia and funders, and payers
  - Panel #1: Landscape of opportunities and challenges in D&I
  - Panel #2: Effecting change: lessons from the field
- Keynote presentation on decision-making and behavior change
Opening Plenary: The State of CER/PCOR

Victor Montori, MD
Professor of Medicine,
Endocrinologist, Researcher
Mayo Clinic

Ms. Nancy Brown
Chief Executive Officer
American Heart Association

Tony Coelho
Chairman
Partnership to Improve Patient Care (PIPC)

Helen Darling
Senior Advisor
National Business Group on Health

Freda Lewis-Hall MD, DFAPA
Chief Medical Officer
Pfizer

Lew Sandy, MD, FACP
Senior Vice President, Clinical Advancement
UnitedHealth Group

Ken Sharigian
Senior Vice President & Chief Strategy Officer
American Medical Association

Patricia Travis, PhD, RN, CCRP
Board Secretary
American Nurses Association
Cross-Cutting Themes (from portfolio analysis)

Up to 40 presentations expected featuring both researchers and partners

- Asthma
- Cancer Symptom and Side Effect Management
- Community Health Workers/Peer Health Workers/Patient Navigators
- Mental Health
- Palliative Care
- Pediatrics
- Rare Diseases
- Reproductive Health
- Shared Decision Making
- Telehealth/Mobile Health
Mini-Summits

- Ambassadors
- Contracts Management and Administration
- Eugene Washington PCORI Engagement Awards
- Evaluating Multi-Component, Multi-Level Interventions
- Methods
- PCORI Pilot Projects
- PCORnet
- Pragmatic Clinical Studies
- Transitional Care Evidence to Action Network
Questions and Discussion
Wrap Up and Adjournment

Grayson Norquist, MD, MSPH
Chair, Board of Directors