Spring 2014 Funding Cycle

PCORI Funding Announcement: Addressing Disparities

Published February 5, 2014
Latest Revision February 20, 2014

This PCORI Funding Announcement applies to the funding cycle that closes May 6, 2014, at 5:00 p.m. (ET). Application guidelines, templates, and other resources are available at pcori.org/PFA/spring-2014/disparities.
About PCORI
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# Overview

**Published**
February 5, 2014

**Letter of Intent Due**
March 7, 2014, by 5:00 p.m. (ET)

**Summary**
In this PCORI Funding Announcement (PFA), we seek to fund comparative clinical effectiveness research studies that evaluate and compare new and alternative interventions with each other or with usual care to reduce or eliminate disparities in health and health care. Studies in the Addressing Disparities program should focus on overcoming barriers that may disproportionately affect the outcomes of specific groups of patients, or identify best practices for sharing results and information about patient-centered research across patient groups.

**Applicant Resources**
[pcori.org/PFA/spring-2014/disparities](pcori.org/PFA/spring-2014/disparities)

**Key Dates**
- **Online System Opens:** February 5, 2014
- **Letter of Intent (LOI) Deadline:** March 7, 2014, by 5:00 p.m. (ET)
- **Applicant Town Hall Session:** To Be Announced
- **Application Deadline:** May 6, 2014, by 5:00 p.m. (ET)
- **Merit Review:** August 2014
- **Awards Announced:** September 2014
- **Earliest Project Start Date:** December 2014

**Maximum Project Budget (Direct Costs)**
$1.5 million

**Maximum Project Period**
Three years

**Funds Available Up To (Direct Costs)**
$8 million

**Eligibility**
Applications may be submitted by any private sector research organization, including any nonprofit or for-profit organization, and any public sector research organization, including any university or college hospital or healthcare system, laboratory or manufacturer, or unit of local, state, or federal government. All US applicant organizations must be recognized by the Internal Revenue Service. Non-domestic components of organizations based in the United States and foreign organizations may apply, as long as there is demonstrable benefit to the US healthcare system and US efforts in the area of patient-centered research can be clearly shown. Organizations may submit multiple applications for funding. Individuals are not permitted to apply.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Review Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Impact of the condition on the health of individuals and populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Potential for the study to improve healthcare and outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Technical merit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Patient-centeredness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Patient and stakeholder engagement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Budget/Time Limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>To propose a project budget that is greater than the maximum direct costs—or a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>project period greater than the maximum period—listed in this PFA, submit a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>request by the LOI deadline using the templates provided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contact Us</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Programmatic Inquires:</strong> Please contact the PCORI Helpdesk via email (<a href="mailto:pfa@pcori.org">pfa@pcori.org</a>), phone (202-627-1884), or online (<a href="http://www.pcori.org/PFA/inquiry">http://www.pcori.org/PFA/inquiry</a>). PCORI will provide a response within three business days. However, we cannot guarantee that all questions will be addressed three business days prior to a Letter of Intent or application deadline.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Administrative, Financial, or Technical Inquiries:</strong> Please contact the PCORI Helpdesk at <a href="mailto:pfa@pcori.org">pfa@pcori.org</a>. PCORI will provide a response within two business days. Please note that during the week of the application deadline, response times may exceed two business days. One week prior to an application deadline, applicants may also call the PCORI Helpdesk (202-627-1885). Applicants are asked to plan accordingly. It is the applicant’s responsibility to submit the application or before the application deadline.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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PCORI Funding Announcement: Addressing Disparities
I. Introduction

Summary of Program

The Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) invites applications for comparative clinical effectiveness research designed to evaluate and compare new and alternative interventions with each other or with usual care to reduce or eliminate disparities in health and health care. Studies should focus on overcoming barriers that may disproportionately affect the outcomes of specific groups of patients, or identify best practices for sharing results and information about patient-centered research across patient groups.

Background

Patients, caregivers, and clinicians often lack the appropriate evidence required to make the best choices regarding prevention, screening, diagnosis, monitoring, or treatment. PCORI seeks to fund studies that provide evidence to help guide decisions about how to eliminate disparities in health and health care and ensure that people receive care according to their needs and have the opportunity to achieve the best possible health outcomes.

PCORI is entrusted by the public to fund research that will matter to patients, their caregivers, and other stakeholders (defined as clinicians and clinician societies, hospitals, and health systems; payers [insurance]; purchasers [business]; industry; researchers; policy makers; and training institutions). PCORI seeks to change how research is conducted by emphasizing the role of diverse research teams that include varying perspectives. PCORI distinguishes itself by supporting research in which patients, caregivers, practicing clinicians, and the broader stakeholder community are actively engaged in generating research questions, reviewing research proposals, conducting research, disseminating research findings, promoting the implementation of research findings, and using the results to understand and address patient and other stakeholder needs.

Every day, healthcare organizations and others are faced with the challenge of how to eliminate disparities in health and health care. After decades of attention to this issue, disparities remain pervasive, leading to preventable suffering and interfering with the ability of individuals and families to live full, healthy lives.

Disparities in health and health care persist, based on race/ethnicity, gender, geographic location, socioeconomic status, disability, and other factors. These disparities contribute to poor quality care and poor overall health outcomes for specific populations. Solutions that can reduce persistent disparities have been understudied and are multifactorial, complex, and context specific. The health disparities literature has largely been devoted to describing disparities and identifying the potential sources and drivers of those disparities. Despite the heterogeneity of populations being studied, research has identified consistent disparities in access, healthcare quality, and health outcomes for a variety of conditions across multiple settings.

Strategies to reduce disparities and promote health equity generally target a range of patient-level, provider-
level, and system-level factors. There is widespread recognition that community and other contextual factors impact health outcomes for underserved and vulnerable patient populations. However, there have not been many studies comparing interventions at these different levels for improving health outcomes.

Research has demonstrated that general system-level quality improvement approaches that are designed to improve outcomes for everyone may have a disproportionately positive effect on underserved populations or, conversely, they may further exacerbate disparities. It is important to delineate when generic quality improvement can improve outcomes versus when a more targeted approach, with a focus on reducing disparities, is needed. Some studies have started to examine how redesigning the healthcare system may reduce disparities in care and advance health equity. For example, preliminary data show that the patient-centered medical home model of care delivery may eliminate disparities in prevention, diagnosis, and treatment for a variety of conditions, even in the most vulnerable patient populations, by providing comprehensive, coordinated, and accessible care. Accountable care organizations may also provide a promising model for reducing disparities. Comparative effectiveness studies examining how different care delivery models can reduce disparities are needed (e.g., comparing different models of how patient-centered medical homes and accountable care organizations are structured and operate).

Other system-level research has examined performance incentive models such as pay-for-performance and pay-for-reporting programs, the role of public reporting, and the use of health information technology (HIT) in reducing disparities. Multiple studies show that vulnerable patient populations are more likely to receive care in poorer performing inpatient and outpatient settings, raising concerns about performance- or incentive-based payments. PCORI is interested in comparative effectiveness studies examining which system level interventions are most effective in reducing disparities in care.

Research of Interest

PCORI seeks to fund investigator-initiated research that:

- Compares interventions to reduce or eliminate disparities in patient-centered outcomes, including health, health care, and patient-reported outcomes. For example, by accounting for possible differences at the patient, provider, or systems level, we are interested in research to determine what interventions can be most effective for eliminating disparities in outcomes.

- Identifies and compares promising practices that address contextual factors (e.g., socioeconomic, demographic, or community) and their impact on patient-centered health outcomes.

---

• Compares benefits and risks of treatment, diagnostic, prevention, or service options across different patient populations, with attention to eliminating disparities.

• Compares and identifies best practices within various patient populations for information sharing about treatment outcomes and patient-centered research.

Research studies may focus on patient populations with a single condition or involve patients with a range of conditions. Studies addressing care for patients with rare conditions are of interest. Rare diseases are defined as life-threatening or chronically debilitating diseases that are of such low prevalence in populations that special efforts, such as combining data across large populations, may be needed to address them. By “low prevalence” we mean conditions that affect fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States or have a prevalence of less than 1 in 1,500 persons.²

Sample Research Questions

The following questions are examples of the types of questions that your research may help answer. This list is by no means exhaustive. **All questions must have a comparative component.**

• Compare which characteristics of the patient-centered medical care are most critical to implement to improve patient-centered outcomes, reduce disparities, and promote health equity.

• Compare best options, materials, and venues for patient education materials that take into consideration patient and caregiver culture, beliefs, literacy, and numeracy to reduce disparities and improve outcomes.

• How do the practices of the top-performing facilities that primarily serve racial or ethnic minority groups, low-income populations, or other groups at risk for experiencing disparities compare with lower performing facilities? Which of the promising practices are replicable?

• Given that effective interventions to improve care in vulnerable populations often require a multipronged approach, compare what options work best under different circumstances.

Certain physiological measurements, such as blood pressure and serum cholesterol, are strongly linked to complications or other outcomes that patients care about. Therefore, an application to PCORI which proposes to conduct a study comparing two approaches to helping people control their blood pressure would be well aligned with PCORI’s focus on patient-centeredness, assuming that the study would also compare the two approaches’ effects on any other relevant outcomes that are important to patients, such as treatment-related symptoms (side effects).

To be competitive for a PCORI contract, an application must make the case that its proposed research

---

question(s) and outcomes will matter to patients and/or other stakeholders.

II. Guidance for Proposing Research

Research Priorities

PCORI funds patient-centered outcomes research (PCOR), a type of comparative clinical effectiveness research. The studies PCORI supports must include the perspectives of patients and other healthcare stakeholders. To be considered responsive applications must describe research that:

- Studies the benefits and harms of interventions and strategies delivered in actual settings. By “delivered in actual settings,” we mean delivered and received in typical “real-life” clinical settings, not just in restrictive trials of experimental care or at selected academic centers. PCORI is interested in innovative studies that provide practical information that can help patients and other stakeholders make informed decisions about their health care and health outcomes.
- Compares at least two alternative approaches. The types of interventions tested can include specific drugs, devices, and procedures, as well as other types of alternatives, such as medical and assistive devices and technologies, diagnostic testing, behavioral change, and a wide variety of strategies for improving delivery systems, but the studies must be comparative. “Usual care” (or no specific intervention) may be an appropriate comparator if this is a realistic choice faced by patients and other stakeholders, but the clinical characteristics must be specified.
- Is based on health outcomes that are meaningful to the patient population under study. While most patient-centered outcomes directly impact the patient’s quality of life, certain physiological measurements, such as blood pressure and serum cholesterol, are strongly linked to complications or other outcomes that patients care about and have become outcomes of interest to patients because of increased awareness. Therefore, an application to PCORI that proposes to conduct a study comparing two approaches to helping people control their blood pressure would be well-aligned with PCORI’s focus on patient-centeredness, assuming that the study would also compare the two approaches’ effects on any other relevant outcomes that are important to patients, such as treatment-related symptoms (side effects).

Non-responsiveness

Applications will be considered non-responsive if the proposed research:

- Conducts a formal cost-effectiveness analysis in the form of dollar-cost per quality-adjusted life-year to compare two or more alternatives.
- Measures the relative costs of care of two or more alternative approaches as the primary criteria for choosing the preferred alternative.

PCORI does have an interest, however, in studies that address questions about conditions that lead to high costs to the individual or to society. This is included in our review criterion on impact of the condition on the
health of individuals and populations. PCORI is also interested in studies that examine differentials in healthcare resources or costs as a determinant of, or barrier to, good outcomes. Examples include ways in which out-of-pocket costs may constitute a barrier to the receipt of care.

Further, PCORI considers it important for applicants to discuss cost-related issues such as the resources needed to implement, replicate, or disseminate a successful intervention. PCORI is also interested in evaluation of interventions intended to reduce health system waste or increase health system efficiency. Proposals that include studies of these issues without utilizing a formal cost-effectiveness analysis or comparing the costs of alternatives are considered responsive.

PCORI discourages proposals that include studies of the natural history of disease, instrument development, pharmacodynamics, and fundamental science or study of biological mechanisms. It also discourages studies that have the primary purpose of developing and evaluating new decision aids or clinical prognostication tools.

Features of Patient-Centered Outcomes Research

PCOR helps people and their caregivers communicate and make informed healthcare decisions, allowing their voices to be heard in assessing the value of healthcare options. This research:

- Assesses the benefits and harms of preventive, diagnostic, therapeutic, palliative, or health-delivery-system features to inform decision making, highlighting the choices that matter to people.
- Is inclusive of an individual’s preferences, autonomy, and needs, focusing on outcomes that people notice and care about, such as survival, functioning, symptoms, and health-related quality of life.
- Incorporates a wide variety of settings and diversity of participants to address individual differences and barriers to implementation and dissemination.
- Directly compares clinical interventions that are generally available in the clinical settings that people use to access health care.
- Obtains the perspectives of stakeholders to address the burdens to individuals, availability of services, and requirements for technology and personnel.

Comparative Clinical Effectiveness Research

Applications submitted in response to this funding announcement should evaluate the comparison of two or more clinical interventions. The efficacy of each intervention must be known prior to the initiation of the proposed project. The application must provide information from systematic reviews or credible literature reviews on the nature of the research gap being addressed and the data about efficacy of the clinical interventions that will be compared. Projects that aim to develop new or novel interventions will be considered out of scope.
Leveraging Existing Resources

Investigators are encouraged to propose studies that leverage existing resources, such as adding PCOR to an existing large clinical trial or analyzing existing large databases that contain valuable, relevant information that may be used to answer important comparative clinical effectiveness research questions. PCORI is also interested in seeking proposals for meta-analyses that use individual participant data.

Preliminary Data and Use of Accepted Measures

PCORI encourages investigators to design their research using valid patient-centered outcomes measures. Include preliminary data that supports the proposed measures. Investigators are encouraged to consider those measures described in the Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System (PROMIS).³

Documentation of Assumptions

PCORI specifically seeks studies that are sufficiently powered to detect clinically meaningful effects. To that end, please justify the proposed sample sizes by explaining the assumptions used in all study power calculations. The application should clearly state all the necessary assumptions (i.e., the primary outcome measure, the estimated difference in the mean value of this measure between study arms, standard deviation of the measure, type I error rate, and any other assumptions). All such estimates must be justified by referring to prior published research or preliminary data.

Studies in Rare Diseases

PCORI is interested in the investigation of strategies that address care for patients with rare conditions. Rare diseases are defined as life-threatening or chronically debilitating diseases that are of such low prevalence in populations that special efforts, such as combining data across large populations, may be needed to address them. The term low prevalence is defined as conditions that affect fewer than 200,000 individuals in the United States or have a prevalence of less than 1 in 1,500 persons.

Review Criteria

PCORI’s review panels rate all submitted applications on the following five criteria:

Criterion 1. Impact of the condition on the health of individuals and populations

The proposal addresses the following questions:

• Is the condition or disease associated with a significant burden in the US population, in terms of prevalence, mortality, morbidity, individual suffering, or loss of productivity?
• Alternatively, does the condition or disease impose a significant burden on a smaller number of people who have a rare disease?
• Does the proposal include a particular emphasis on patients with one or more chronic condition?

³ Available at nihpromis.org
Criterion 2. Potential for the study to improve health care and outcomes
The proposal has the potential to lead to meaningful improvement in the quality and efficiency of care and to improvements in outcomes that are important to patients. It addresses the following questions:
• Does the research question address a critical gap in current knowledge as noted in systematic reviews, guideline development efforts, or previous research prioritizations?
• Has it been identified as important by patient, caregiver, or clinician groups?
• Do wide variations in practice patterns suggest current clinical uncertainty?
• Is the research novel or innovative in its methods or approach, in the population being studied, or in the intervention being evaluated in ways that make it likely to improve care?
• Do preliminary studies indicate potential for a sizeable benefit of the intervention relative to current practice? How likely is it that positive findings could be disseminated and implemented quickly, resulting in improvements in practice and patient outcomes?

Criterion 3. Technical merit
The proposal has sufficient technical merit to ensure that the study goals will be met. It includes:
• A clear research plan with rigorous methods that demonstrates adherence to PCORI’s Methodology Standards
• A realistic timeline that includes specific scientific and engagement milestones
• A research team with the necessary expertise and an appropriate organizational structure
• A research environment sufficient to support the conduct of the work with appropriate resources
• A diverse study population with respect to age, gender, race, ethnicity, and clinical status, as appropriate for the proposed research

Criterion 4. Patient-centeredness
The proposal demonstrates patient-centeredness at every stage of the research. It addresses these questions:
• Is the research focused on questions that affect outcomes of interest to patients and their caregivers?
• Does the research address one or more of the key questions mentioned in PCORI’s definition of patient-centered outcomes research?

Criterion 5. Patient and stakeholder engagement
The proposal demonstrates that people representing the population of interest and other relevant stakeholders are engaged in ways that are appropriate and necessary in a given research context.
• Are patients and other stakeholders engaged in:
  o Formulating research questions
  o Defining essential characteristics of study participants, comparators, and outcomes
  o Identifying and selecting outcomes that the population of interest notices and cares about (e.g., survival, function, symptoms, health-related quality of life) and that inform decision making relevant to the research topic;
  o Monitoring study conduct and progress
  o Designing/suggesting plans for dissemination and implementation activities
• Are the roles and the decision making authority of all research partners clearly stated?
• Does the proposal demonstrate the principles of reciprocal relationships, co-learning, partnership, trust, transparency, and honesty?
Patient and Stakeholder Engagement

PCORI encourages all applicants to clearly describe the patient and stakeholder engagement in their research proposals. PCORI understands that patient and stakeholder engagement in research can take many forms; it is not seeking one particular type or method of engagement. Rather, applicants should communicate how patients (those with lived experience), family members, caregivers, and the organizations that represent them, as well as any other relevant stakeholders, will be involved in study activities. Because this type of engagement in research is a relatively new concept, PCORI has developed a Patient and Family Engagement Rubric (see the appendix to the Engagement Template) to guide both applicants and merit reviewers. Additionally, studies are expected to adhere to PCORI’s Methodology Standards Associated with Patient-Centeredness and to the PCOR Engagement Principles found within the rubric. These and additional resources are available in PCORI’s Funding Center.

Methodological Considerations

Regardless of study design, proposals must adhere to all relevant PCORI Methodology Standards. These include 47 individual standards that fall into 11 categories. The first five categories are cross-cutting and are relevant to most PCOR studies. Researchers should refer to all of these standards when planning and conducting their research projects. These categories are:

- Standards for Formulating Research Questions
- Standards Associated with Patient-Centeredness
- Standards on Data Integrity and Rigorous Analyses
- Standards for Preventing and Handling Missing Data
- Standards for Heterogeneity of Treatment Effect (HTE)

Six other categories of standards will be applicable to particular study designs and methods. The standards in each of these categories should be used for guidance when they are relevant to a particular study. These categories are:

- Standards for Data Registries
- Standards for Data Networks as Research-facilitating Infrastructures
- Standards for Causal Inference Methods
- Standards for Adaptive and Bayesian Trial Designs
- Standards for Studies of Diagnostic Tests
- Standards for Systematic Reviews

Most of these standards should be considered “minimal” standards. Additional best practices, including relevant guidelines for the conduct of clinical trials developed by other organizations, should be addressed in the application for PCORI funding.

All applicants should specifically discuss their capacity to measure factors such as differential adherence to chosen treatments (or participation in intervention programs) that could create or explain apparent
differences in the effectiveness of the alternative interventions being compared in clinical populations.

**Populations Studied**

PCORI seeks to fund research that includes diverse populations with respect to age, gender, race, ethnicity, geography, or clinical status, so that possible differences in comparative clinical effectiveness research may be examined. PCORI recognizes that some proposed studies may represent important PCOR opportunities even in the absence of a broadly diverse study population. However, the burden is on the applicant in such cases to justify the importance of the study in the absence of diversity. Alternatively, PCORI is interested in the inclusion of previously understudied populations for whom effectiveness information is particularly needed, such as hard-to-reach populations or patients with multiple conditions. Thus, comparisons should examine the impact of the strategies in various subpopulations with attention to the possibilities that the effects of the strategy might differ across various populations. Populations of interest include those that are less frequently studied. PCORI has developed the following list of priority populations to guide our efforts in research and engagement, which includes:

- Racial and ethnic minority groups
- Low-income groups
- Women
- Children (age 0–17 years)
- Older adults (age 65 years and older)
- Residents of rural areas
- Individuals with special healthcare needs, including individuals with disabilities
- Individuals with multiple chronic diseases
- Individuals with rare diseases
- Individuals whose genetic make-up affects their medical outcomes
- Patients with low health literacy/numeracy and/or limited English proficiency
- Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transsexual (LGBT) persons

**Protection of Human Subjects**

PCORI adopts, by reference, the Human Subjects requirements of 45 CFR Part 46. If the proposed research will involve human subjects, refer to the Supplemental Instructions for Preparing the Protection of Human Subjects Section of the Research Plan in Part II of the Instructions for the PHS 398 Form provided by the National Institute of Health. Note: PCORI requires engagement in the research by patients and/or other stakeholders as research partners. Research subjects protection requirements do not apply to co-investigators, members of the research team, or research partners.

---

4 Available at http://grants.nih.gov/grants/funding/phs398/phs398.html
Budget and Project Duration

If your proposed project exceeds $1.5 million in total direct costs and/or exceeds three years, please submit, before the LOI deadline, a Greater Than request form with a justification for the increased budget and/or extended length of your study. The form will be reviewed by the program staff, and you will receive a notification for approval or denial of this request within two weeks of the LOI deadline.

III. How to Submit a Proposal

Submission Dates

Letters of Intent and applications must be submitted in accordance with the published dates and times listed in the Overview in this PFA and in the PCORI Funding Center.5

PCORI Online System

To submit a proposal, you must register with the PCORI Online System6 and submit both a Letter of Intent (LOI) and an application for each cycle in which you are applying.

Applicant Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCORI Funding Center</th>
<th>pcori.org/PFA/spring-2014/disparities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCORI Online System</td>
<td>pcori.fluxx.io</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCORI Funding Awards</td>
<td>pcori.org/pfaawards</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Contact Us

Programmatic Inquiries: Please contact the PCORI Helpdesk via email (pfa@pcori.org), phone (202-627-1884), or online (http://www.pcori.org/PFA/inquiry). PCORI will provide a response within three business days. However, we cannot guarantee that all questions will be addressed three business days prior to a Letter of Intent or application deadline.

Administrative, Financial, or Technical Inquiries: Please contact the PCORI Helpdesk at pfa@pcori.org. PCORI will provide a response within two business days. Please note that during the week of the application deadline, response times may exceed two business days. One week prior to an application deadline, applicants may also call the PCORI Helpdesk (202-627-1885). Applicants are asked to plan accordingly. It is the applicant’s responsibility to submit the application or before the application deadline.

---

5 Available at pcori.org/apply
6 Available at pcori.fluxx.io