



Cycle 3 2016 Funding Cycle

PCORI Funding Announcement: Improving Methods for Conducting Patient-Centered Outcomes Research

Published August 15, 2016
Updated May 19, 2017

This PCORI Funding Announcement (PFA) applies to the funding cycle that closes on December 19, 2016, at 5 p.m. (ET). Application Guidelines, templates, and other resources are available at <http://www.pcori.org/Cycle-3-2016-methods/>.



About PCORI

The Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) is committed to transparency and a rigorous stakeholder-driven process that emphasizes patient engagement. PCORI uses a variety of forums and public comment periods to obtain public input to enhance its work. PCORI helps people make informed healthcare decisions and improves healthcare delivery and outcomes by producing and promoting high-integrity, evidence-based information that comes from research guided by patients and other stakeholders.

PCORI was authorized by Congress in 2010 as a nonprofit, nongovernmental organization. PCORI's purpose, as defined by our authorizing legislation, is to help patients, caregivers, clinicians, policy makers, and other healthcare system stakeholders make better-informed health decisions by "advancing the quality and relevance of evidence about how to prevent, diagnose, treat, monitor, and manage diseases, disorders, and other health conditions."

Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute
1828 L St. NW, Suite 900
Washington, DC 20036
Phone: 202-827-7700
Fax: 202-355-9558
Email: info@pcori.org

Follow us on Twitter: [@PCORI](https://twitter.com/PCORI)



Overview

| | |
|---------------------------------------|--|
| Published | August 15, 2016 |
| Updated | May 19, 2017 |
| Letter of Intent Due | September 14, 2016, by 5 p.m. (ET) Letters of Intent (LOIs) will be screened for responsiveness to program goals and for overlap with projects in the existing portfolio. Only those selected will be invited to submit full applications. Invitations to submit a full application will occur no later than October 21, 2016. PCORI encourages prospective applicants to contact us with questions prior to the deadline. See “Contact Us” below for additional details. |
| Summary | In this PCORI Funding Announcement (PFA), we seek to fund projects to address gaps in methodological research relevant to conducting patient-centered outcomes research (PCOR). The improvement of existing methods will benefit all stakeholders, including researchers planning investigations; policy makers weighing the value of healthcare interventions; and patients, clinicians, and caregivers facing healthcare decisions. |
| Applicant Resources | See http://www.pcori.org/Cycle-3-2016-methods/ |
| Key Dates | Online System Opens: August 15, 2016 LOI Deadline: September 14, 2016, by 5 p.m. (ET) LOI Screening Notification: October 21, 2016 Application Deadline: December 19, 2016, by 5 p.m. (ET) Merit Review: March 2017 Awards Announced: August 15, 2017 Earliest Project Start Date: October 2017 |
| Maximum Project Budget (Direct Costs) | \$750,000 |
| Maximum Research Project Period | Three years |
| Funds Available up to | \$12 million Because the nature and scope of the proposed research are expected to vary widely from application to application, it is anticipated that the size and duration of each award will also vary. PCORI reserves the right to change the funds available at any time. |
| Eligibility | <i>Applications:</i> Programmatic requirements differ, depending on whether this is a new submission or a resubmission. Resubmissions are limited to those who had an approved LOI as part of the following funding cycles: Cycle 1 (Spring) 2015, Cycle 2 2015, Cycle 3 2015, and Cycle 1 2016. New submissions must align with one of two current program priorities: “Research Related to Ethical and Human Subjects Protections (HSP) Issues in PCOR/CER” and “Methods to Support Data Research Networks.” <i>Organization:</i> Applications may be submitted by any private-sector research organization, including any nonprofit or for-profit organization, and any public-sector research organization, including any university or college hospital or healthcare system; laboratory or manufacturer; or unit of local, state, or federal government. The Internal Revenue Service must recognize all U.S. applicant organizations. Nondomestic components of organizations based in the United States and foreign organizations may apply, as long as there is demonstrable benefit to the U.S. healthcare system and U.S. efforts in the area of patient-centered research can be shown clearly. Organizations may submit multiple applications for funding. Individuals are not permitted to apply. |

| | |
|-----------------|---|
| Review Criteria | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study identifies critical methodological gap(s) in PCOR/CER (Comparative Effectiveness Research) 2. Potential for the study to improve PCOR/CER methods 3. Scientific merit (research design, analysis, and outcomes) 4. Investigator(s) and Environment 5. Patient-centeredness 6. Patient and stakeholder engagement |
| Contact Us | <p>Programmatic Inquires: Please contact the PCORI Helpdesk via email (sciencequestions@pcori.org), phone (202-627-1884), or online (http://www.pcori.org/PFA/inquiry). PCORI will provide a response within three business days. However, we cannot guarantee that all questions will be addressed three business days prior to an LOI or application deadline.</p> <p>Administrative, Financial, or Technical Inquiries: Please contact the PCORI Helpdesk at (pfa@pcori.org). PCORI will provide a response within two business days. Please note that during the week of the application deadline, response times may exceed two business days. Applicants may also call the PCORI Helpdesk (202-627-1885). It is the applicant’s responsibility to submit the application on or before the application deadline.</p> |
| Other | <p>*Deadlines are at 5 p.m. (ET). If deadlines fall on a weekend or a federal holiday, the deadline will be the following Monday or the next day after the federal holiday.</p> |

New or Revised for the Cycle 3 2016 Funding Cycle:

- New Criterion 4 added and updated Section IV Merit Review
- Removed the Replication and Reproducibility of Research and Data-Sharing Plan requirement during the application phase
- Includes links to new [PCORI Policy on Data and Safety Monitoring Plans for PCORI-Funded Research](#) and [Process for Peer Review of Primary Research and Public Release of Research Findings](#)

Program Priorities:

PCORI has invested extensively in methodological research to advance the field of PCOR; the funded portfolio now includes more than 80 projects awarded under previous cycles of the Improving Methods for Conducting PCOR PFA (“Methods PFA”). Beginning with this cycle, the Methods Program is moving to a narrower set of program priorities that reflect the current strategic priorities of PCORI.

In transitioning to this targeted approach, we want to provide investigators who have previously submitted applications in response to the Methods PFA an opportunity to resubmit (see eligible cycles listed on the next page). If you have submitted an LOI that was approved in a previous cycle, you are eligible to submit an application in the current cycle. PCORI expects that most such submissions will be resubmissions (i.e., a full application was previously submitted but not funded). However, if your planned project is a new submission (not closely aligned with a previously approved LOI), then the project must align with the narrower scope of program priorities that are outlined in the Research Areas of Interest (RAIs) described below. Thus, new LOIs and applications will only be considered in the RAI categories of



“Research Related to Ethical and Human Subjects Protections (HSP) Issues in PCOR/CER” and “Methods to Support Data Research Networks.”

Future PFAs for the Methods Program will focus on only 2–3 RAIs in each announcement. LOIs or applications that do not address one of the selected RAIs will be deemed nonresponsive for that cycle. We intend to announce and publicize these topics with sufficient lead time to allow investigators time to prepare LOIs and applications.

Previously Invited Applicants

- Those applicants previously invited to submit a full application on any of the previously listed RAIs for the Cycle 1 (Spring) 2015, Cycle 2 2015, Cycle 3 2015, and Cycle 1 2016 Methods PFAs may resubmit a previously approved LOI. The resubmitted LOI should capture any changes in approach that are responsive to the previous merit review.

New Applicants

- The following RAIs are open to new applicants:
 - Research Related to Ethical and Human Subjects Protections (HSP) Issues in PCOR/CER
 - Methods to Support Data Research Networks

Regardless of a proposed project’s status in regards to previous LOI submissions and full applications, PCORI reserves the right to reject the LOI if the project has a significant overlap with other funded projects, or in other ways no longer aligns with PCORI’s program priorities.



Table of Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| I. Introduction | 1 |
| Summary of Program | 1 |
| Background..... | 1 |
| Research Areas of Interest and Program Priorities: | 1 |
| II. Requirements for PCORI Research..... | 3 |
| Nonresponsiveness | 4 |
| Avoiding Redundancy..... | 5 |
| Leveraging Existing Resources | 5 |
| Methodological Considerations | 5 |
| Upcoming New and Revised Methodology Standards | 6 |
| Patient and Stakeholder Engagement | 6 |
| Populations Studied | 6 |
| Protection of Human Subjects | 7 |
| Required Education of Key Personnel on the Protection of Human Subject Participants..... | 8 |
| Data Management and Data-Sharing Plan | 8 |
| Recruitment (if applicable)..... | 8 |
| Peer Review and Release of Research Findings..... | 8 |
| III. How To Submit an Application..... | 9 |
| Letter of Intent (LOI) | 9 |
| LOI Review | 10 |
| Project Budget and Duration..... | 11 |
| Submission Dates | 11 |
| PCORI Online System..... | 11 |
| Applicant Resources | 11 |
| IV. Merit Review | 12 |
| Preliminary Review..... | 12 |
| In-Person Review..... | 14 |
| Post-Panel Review | 15 |
| Summary Statements and Funding Recommendations | 15 |

I. Introduction

Summary of Program

In this PCORI Funding Announcement (PFA) for Improving Methods for Conducting Patient-Centered Outcomes Research (PCOR), also referred to as the Methods PFA, the Methods Program aims to fund high-priority methodological research topics in PCOR and comparative clinical effectiveness research (CER). Studies should address methodological gaps in PCOR/CER, supporting PCORI's Methods Strategic Imperative to develop and promote rigorous PCOR methods, standards, and best practices.

Background¹

The availability of multiple options for prevention, diagnosis, and treatment in health care presents a significant challenge to patients and clinicians trying to make informed care decisions. Deciding between alternative options in health care requires an understanding of how to balance the benefits and risks of each treatment option and an understanding of how each option might apply differently to different patients, given their unique personal characteristics. The Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) was created with the promise of enhancing the ability of people who are making decisions about health care to understand and weigh these options fully.

To address this challenge, PCORI seeks to fund projects to address gaps in methodological research for conducting PCOR/CER. PCORI and its Methodology Committee recognize the need to better understand and advance the appropriate use of these methods. Strong methods will support the generation of research findings that can be trusted to improve patients' healthcare outcomes.

Research Areas of Interest and Program Priorities:

PCORI has invested extensively in methodological research to advance the field of PCOR; the funded portfolio now includes more than 80 projects awarded under previous cycles of the Improving Methods for Conducting PCOR PFA ("Methods PFA"). Beginning with this cycle, the Methods Program is moving to a narrower set of program priorities that reflect PCORI's current strategic priorities.

In transitioning to this targeted approach, we want to provide investigators who have previously submitted applications in response to the Methods PFA an opportunity to resubmit (see eligible cycles listed on the next page). If you have submitted a Letter of Intent (LOI) that was approved in a previous cycle, you are eligible to submit an application in the current cycle. PCORI expects that most such submissions will be resubmissions (i.e., a full application was previously submitted but not funded). However, if your planned project is a new submission (not closely aligned with a previously approved LOI), then the project must align with the narrower scope of program priorities that are outlined in the Research Areas of Interest (RAIs) described below. Thus, new LOIs and applications will only be considered in the RAI categories of "Research Related to Ethical and Human Subjects Protections (HSP)

¹ This background section borrows from the following article published on behalf of the PCORI Methodology Committee: Gabriel and Normand. (2012, August). "Getting the Methods Right—The Foundation of Patient-Centered Outcomes Research." *NEJM*. Available at nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMp1207437.



Issues in PCOR/CER” and “Methods to Support Data Research Networks.”

Future PFAs for the Methods Program will focus on only 2–3 RAIs in each announcement. LOIs or applications that do not address one of the selected RAIs will be deemed nonresponsive for that cycle. We intend to announce and publicize these topics with sufficient lead time to allow investigators time to prepare LOIs and applications.

Previously Invited Applicants

Those applicants previously invited to submit a full application on any of the previously listed RAIs for the Cycle 1 (Spring) 2015, Cycle 2 2015, Cycle 3 2015, and Cycle 1 2016 Methods PFAs may resubmit a previously approved LOI. The resubmitted LOI should capture any changes in approach that are responsive to the previous merit review.

New Applicants

PCORI is interested in supporting research that advances methods relevant to PCOR/CER. Proposed research should be justified with specific references to gaps identified in the PCORI Methodology Report or published scientific literature. Below are two RAIs that the Methods Program seeks to support. Newly submitted LOIs should align with one of these two RAIs.

CLOSED

| Research Related to Ethical and Human Subjects Protections (HSP) Issues in PCOR/CER | |
|---|---|
| <p>The Methods Program is interested in funding projects on ethical and HSP issues in the context of PCOR/CER. Applications responding to this RAI must include an empirical component. Purely conceptual and theoretical work will be considered nonresponsive. Moreover, preference will be given to proposals that seek to develop or test new approaches, rather than those that conduct primarily descriptive work (e.g., surveys, interviews, or focus groups regarding attitudes about current policies or practices).</p> <p>The following topics are considered priorities for this RAI:</p> | |
| a) Research on consent for participation in clinical trials in the context of PCOR/CER study designs (e.g., cluster randomized trials, pragmatic trials, adaptive trials, and conventional randomized controlled trials [RCTs]), including research on options for altered consent processes | |
| b) Research on the evaluation and determination of (minimal) risk in pragmatic clinical trials | |
| c) Research on review and monitoring of PCOR/CER, including Institutional Review Board [IRB] processes, protocol adherence, and adjudication of study outcomes | |
| Methods To Support Data Research Networks | |
| <p>The Methods Program is interested in funding projects that improve the capacity for high-quality, multi-site PCOR/CER using data research networks. Applications responding to this RAI should address critical methodological gaps in distributed analytics while preserving the privacy of patients and the security of data.</p> <p>The following topics are considered priorities for this RAI:</p> | |
| a) Methods to improve distributed analyses in data research networks | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of methods to evaluate optimal network designs and analytical approaches (e.g., propensity scoring, distributed regression, and meta-analysis) • Development of methods to compare complete data sharing (pooling of data) vs. networks with limited sharing capabilities, leveraging both the empirical evidence from current networks and simulation analyses |
| b) Methods to improve data quality in data research networks | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Development of methods to prevent, mitigate, or impute missing data and improve understanding of missing data mechanisms in electronic health records (EHRs) and distributed data settings • Development of methods to evaluate optimal linkage of multiple data sources, such as EHRs, claims, and national registry data • Development of methods to conduct patient-level disambiguation for de-identified linkage of data across networks |

II. Requirements for PCORI Research

This section includes language that is specific to PCORI’s requirements for applications for funding. Applicants should use this section as guidance when preparing their applications.

Nonresponsiveness

Applications to the Methods PFA that propose the following types of research will be considered nonresponsive:

Cost-effectiveness research

- Conducts a formal cost-effectiveness analysis
- Directly compares the costs of care between two or more alternative approaches to providing care

Proposals that include studies of these issues may measure and report utilization of any or all health services, but should not employ direct measurements of costs of care. For further information, please reference our [cost-effectiveness analysis FAQs](#).

PCORI does have an interest, however, in studies that address questions about conditions leading to high costs to the individual or to society. Thus, PCORI is interested in studies that:

- Examine the effect of costs on patients, such as patients' out-of-pocket costs, hardship, or lost opportunity, or costs as a determinant of or barrier to access to care
- Address cost-related issues, such as the resources needed to replicate or disseminate a successful intervention
- Evaluate interventions to reduce health system waste or increase health system efficiency

Addressing specifically the issue of conditions that lead to high costs, our PFAs say that “proposals that include studies of these issues without utilizing a formal cost-effectiveness analysis or directly measuring and comparing costs of care alternatives will be considered responsive and will be reviewed.”

Non-methodological research

- Develops a discrete intervention or healthcare practice
- Compares the efficacy of two or more health interventions
- Develops best practices for healthcare delivery

Narrowly focused research

- Develops, refines, and validates disease- or condition-specific measures

Consistent with PCORI's [authorizing law](#),² PCORI does **not fund** research whose findings will include:

- Coverage recommendations
- Payment or policy recommendations
- Creation of clinical practice guidelines or clinical pathways

² Available at http://www.pcori.org/sites/default/files/PCORI_Authorizing_Legislation.pdf/.

- Establishing efficacy for a new clinical strategy
- Pharmacodynamics
- Study of the natural history of disease
- Basic science or study of biological mechanisms

Avoiding Redundancy

PCORI encourages potential applicants to review funded research at pcori.org. We intend to balance our funded portfolio to achieve synergy and avoid redundancy where possible.

Leveraging Existing Resources

PCORI encourages investigators to propose studies that leverage existing resources, such as adding PCOR to an existing large clinical trial or analyzing existing large databases that contain valuable, relevant information that may be used to answer important CER questions.

Note on Resubmissions: Regardless of a proposed project's status in regards to previous submissions of LOIs and full applications, PCORI reserves the right to reject the LOI if the project has a significant overlap with other funded projects or in other ways no longer aligns with PCORI's program priorities.

Methodological Considerations

Regardless of study design, applications must adhere to all relevant [PCORI Methodology Standards](#). These include 47 individual standards that fall into 11 categories. The first five categories are cross-cutting and relevant to most PCOR studies. Researchers should refer to these standards when planning and conducting their research projects. These categories are:

- Standards for Formulating Research Questions
- Standards Associated with Patient-Centeredness
- Standards on Data Integrity and Rigorous Analyses
- Standards for Preventing and Handling Missing Data
- Standards for Heterogeneity of Treatment Effect (HTE)

Six other standards categories will be applicable to certain study designs and methods. The standards in each of these categories should be used for guidance when they are relevant to a study:

- Standards for Data Registries
- Standards for Data Networks as Research-Facilitating Infrastructures
- Standards for Causal Inference Methods
- Standards for Adaptive and Bayesian Trial Designs
- Standards for Studies of Diagnostic Tests

- Standards for Systematic Reviews

Most of these standards are minimal. The PCORI Methodology Standards³ reflect practices that should be followed in all cases, and all deviations need to be explained and well-justified. Additional best practices—including accepted guidelines for conducting clinical trials or observational studies—should be addressed, if applicable, in the PCORI funding application.

Upcoming New and Revised Methodology Standards

In 2015 the Methodology Committee undertook a process to review the existing PCORI Methodology Standards, updating and adding new standards where indicated. Although these proposed revised and new standards are still under review and not yet benefited from public comment, we encourage you to refer to the [potential revisions](#). Applicants should continue to adhere to the current [PCORI Methodology Standards](#).

Patient and Stakeholder Engagement

PCORI encourages all applicants to outline how patients and other stakeholders will participate as partners in various phases of the proposed research. Before completing this section of the Research Strategy, applicants are encouraged to review PCORI's [Engagement Rubric](#)⁴, which can be found in the [PCORI Funding Center](#). PCORI also provides [sample Methods Engagement Plans](#)⁵ from previously funded Methods projects. The sample plans are not intended to be comprehensive or prescriptive; instead, they provide examples of options to incorporate engagement, where relevant, into the research process.

If patient and caregiver engagement is deemed inappropriate in the planning, conduct, or dissemination of research because of the proposed project's technical nature, applications should justify why. Highly technical applications should consider whether engaging other stakeholders or end-users (e.g., data architects, clinicians, domain experts, health services researchers with different expertise than that of the research team members, policy makers, etc.) would be of value in the methodological process and in the dissemination and implementation plans.

Populations Studied

PCORI seeks to fund research that includes diverse populations with respect to age, gender, race, ethnicity, geography, or clinical status, so that possible differences in outcomes may be examined in defined subpopulations, otherwise known as HTE. PCORI recognizes that some proposed studies might represent important PCOR opportunities even in the absence of a broadly diverse study population. However, the burden is on the applicant to justify the study's importance in the absence of diversity and to discuss which subgroups are most important and how they will be analyzed—including whether the study will be powered to examine the question of effectiveness in subgroups. PCORI is particularly interested in the inclusion of previously understudied populations for whom effectiveness information is especially needed, such as hard-to-reach populations or patients with multiple conditions. Thus,

³ Available at <http://www.pcori.org/research-we-support/the-pcori-methodology-report/>.

⁴ Available at <http://www.pcori.org/sites/default/files/Engagement-Rubric.pdf>.

⁵ Available at <http://www.pcori.org/sites/default/files/announcement-resources/PCORI-Sample-Methods-Engagement-Plans.pdf/>.

comparisons should examine the impact of the strategies in various subpopulations, with attention to the possibility that the strategy's effects might differ across subpopulations. PCORI has developed a list of populations of interest to guide our efforts in research and engagement:

- Racial and ethnic minority groups
- Low-income groups
- Women
- Children (age 0–17 years)
- Older adults (age 65 years and older)
- Residents of rural areas
- Individuals with special healthcare needs, including individuals with disabilities
- Individuals with multiple chronic diseases
- Individuals with rare diseases
- Individuals whose genetic makeup affects their medical outcomes
- Patients with low health literacy, numeracy, or limited English proficiency
- Lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender (LGBT) persons
- Veterans and members of the Armed Forces and their families

Protection of Human Subjects

This component (up to five pages) is included in the Research Plan Template. Describe the protection of human subjects involved in your proposed research. PCORI follows the Federal Policy for the Protection of Human Subjects ([45 CFR part 46](#)), including the Common Rule. For more detailed information, please see Section 5, titled “Human Subjects Research Policy,” in the [Supplemental Grant Application Instructions for All Competing Applications and Progress Reports](#),⁶ which is issued by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. PCORI does not require that applicants comply with sections of this policy that refer to requirements for federal-wide assurance or that refer to standards for including women, minorities, and children. Awardees must also comply with appropriate state, local, and institutional regulations and guidelines pertaining to the use of human subjects in research.

PCORI requires awardees to ensure that there is a Data and Safety Monitoring Plan, which may include the need to appoint a Data and Safety Monitoring Board, as provided in the [PCORI Policy on Data and Safety Monitoring Plans for PCORI-Funded Research](#).⁷

PCORI merit reviewers will examine plans for protection of human subjects in all applications and may

⁶ See <http://grants.nih.gov/sites/default/files/supplementalinstructions.docx>

⁷ See <http://www.pcori.org/sites/default/files/PCORI-Policy-Data-Safety-Monitoring-Plans.pdf>

provide comments regarding the plans (see [How To Evaluate Human Subjects Protections](#)⁸). Reviewers' comments on human subject research are not reflected in the overall application score, but PCORI staff might use them during potential funding negotiations. Final determinations about the adequacy of human subject protections rest with the IRB or international equivalent that have jurisdiction for the study.

The Awardee Institution, whether domestic or foreign, bears ultimate responsibility for safeguarding the rights and welfare of human subjects in PCORI-supported activities.

Required Education of Key Personnel on the Protection of Human Subject Participants

PCORI requires that all applicants adhere to the National Institutes of Health (NIH) policy on education in the protection of human subject participants in the conduct of research. This applies to all individuals listed as key personnel in the application. The policy and FAQs are available on the [NIH website](#).⁹

Data Management and Data-Sharing Plan

PCORI encourages openness in research and making research data available for purposes of replication and reproducibility. Although not required to be submitted as a component of the research application, if an award is made, the awardee is required to develop and maintain a plan that addresses data management and data sharing of research project data in a manner that is appropriate for the nature of the research project and the types of research project data, and that is consistent with applicable privacy, confidentiality, and other legal requirements.

Recruitment (if applicable)

Proposals should include information about the size and representativeness of the potential pool of patients from which recruitment will occur, and describe the means by which this size estimate was determined. Likewise, proposals should provide evidence-based estimates of how many participants are expected in the study, based on expected recruitment; applying the study's inclusion and exclusion criteria; anticipated acceptance (or refusal) rates; and other factors, such as failure to follow up. Such estimates must be discussed in the application, specified in the milestones, reviewed by merit reviewers and PCORI staff, and monitored by PCORI in the funded research.

Peer Review and Release of Research Findings

PCORI has a legislative mandate to ensure the scientific integrity of the primary research it supports and to make study findings widely available and useful to patients, clinicians, and the general public within a specific timeframe. Accordingly, the PCORI Board of Governors (Board) adopted the [Process for Peer Review of Primary Research and Public Release of Research Findings](#).¹⁰

In summary, Awardee Institutions are required to submit to PCORI for peer review a draft final research report that provides the methodological details, describes the main study results, and interprets the

⁸ See <http://www.pcori.org/sites/default/files/PCORI-Checklist-for-Evaluating-Human-Subjects-Protections.pdf/>.

⁹ See <http://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-08-054.html>.

¹⁰ See <http://www.pcori.org/sites/default/files/PCORI-Peer-Review-and-Release-of-Findings-Process.pdf>

findings in clinical or other decisional contexts. Subject matter experts; individuals with expertise in research methodology or biostatistics; and patients, caregivers, and other healthcare stakeholders will review the draft final research report. After Awardee Institutions have responded to reviewers' comments to PCORI's satisfaction, the report will be accepted and considered final. PCORI will then prepare a 500-word abstract summarizing the study results for patients and the general public, which the Awardee Institution will review and approve.

PCORI will post the following materials on its website no later than 90 days after the draft final research report is accepted: (1) a 500-word abstract for medical professionals; (2) a standardized summary of the study results for patients and the general public; (3) a link to the study record on ClinicalTrials.gov (as applicable); and (4) ancillary information, including conflict of interest disclosures. The final research report, along with anonymized reviewer comments, will be made publicly available on the PCORI website no later than 12 months after its acceptance, except by prior mutual agreement with the Awardee Institution.

III. How To Submit an Application

Applying for funding from PCORI is a two-stage process. An LOI must be submitted and an applicant must be invited to submit an application.

Letter of Intent (LOI)

Applicants should download the [LOI Template for the Improving Methods for Conducting Patient-Centered Outcomes Research](#) PFA from the PCORI Funding Center. They must complete the document and convert it to a PDF file. The LOI is limited to three pages, excluding references. PCORI suggests including all references as in-text citations using American Medical Association citation style, but other citation styles are accepted. Do not upload additional documents as part of your LOI, including Letters of Endorsement or Support, because they are not requested at this stage. Their inclusion will result in LOI rejection without review. Please visit the [PCORI Funding Center](#) for additional applicant resources, including the PFA and required templates

For the Methods PFA, LOIs for proposed studies must include the following sections:

- **Background:** State the methodological gap the research is designed to address and indicate the specific topic in the PFA to which this project responds. Describe how the proposed research will advance methods for PCOR/CER and the importance of this research to the relevant stakeholders (e.g., patients, clinicians, and policy makers).
- **Objectives:** Describe the research objective(s) and list the specific aims that will address the identified methodological gap.
- **Methods:** Provide a detailed description of the methodological work that is planned for each of the specific aims and the ways in which it addresses the identified methodological gaps. Include a sufficient description of the following elements to demonstrate the scientific rigor of the proposed research:

- Study design
- Study population and sample size (if applicable)
- Primary data collection methods (if applicable)
- Data sources and data sets (if applicable)
- Analytic methods
- **Outcomes (projected) and Anticipated Impact:** Identify and explain the specific anticipated contributions and applications of the methodological advancement to PCOR/CER, as well as the patients and/or other stakeholders who will benefit from it.
- **Patient and Other Stakeholder Engagement:** Briefly describe and justify the plan for engaging patients and/or other stakeholders over the course of the project. If patient and stakeholder engagement is deemed inappropriate in some or all phases of the research, justify why.

The LOI Template provides guidance on responding to each item. Please refer to the [Application Guidelines](#) for due dates and information on how to submit an LOI via the PCORI Online System. **The deadline for LOI submission is September 14, 2016, by 5 p.m. (ET).**

LOI Review

LOIs are evaluated based on the following:

- Responsiveness to the Methods PFA
- Importance and relevance of the topics to PCORI priorities, as evidenced by critical gaps in current methodological understanding as noted in the PCORI Methodology Report or in the scientific literature
- Clarity and credibility of responses to each section of the LOI
- Sufficient detail and scientific rigor of the proposed methods
- Programmatic fit and balance, taking into consideration whether an LOI significantly overlaps with funded studies or concurrent LOIs

Only applicants with LOIs deemed **most responsive** to this PFA will be invited to submit a full application. PCORI staff review the LOIs, which are not scored during review. Notification of the request to submit a full application will occur no later than October 21, 2016.

Applicants are invited to submit an application based on the information provided in the LOI. Any changes to the following require PCORI's approval:

- Research question(s)
- Specific aims
- Study design



- Comparators (if applicable)
- Principal Investigator (PI)
- Institution

If you need to change any of this information or have any questions, please email pfa@pcori.org.

Note: A PI can only submit one LOI per PFA. However, an individual listed as a PI on one LOI may be listed as and serve in another role (e.g., co-investigator or consultant) on other LOIs within the same PFA, during the same cycle. A PI can submit multiple LOIs to different program PFAs in a cycle, but the PI must ensure that the research topics and projects are not similar. If a PI submits an LOI to multiple program PFAs, LOIs that exhibit scientific overlap or that appear to be duplicate submissions will be disqualified. PCORI will contact the PI and provide him or her with an opportunity to choose which PFA he or she would like to apply to. This applies to single and dual-PI submissions.

Project Budget and Duration

Applications submitted under the Methods research funding stream will not be granted an exception to the research project duration limit of three years (not including peer review) and/or the project budget limit of \$750,000 in direct costs. At the time of contract execution, PCORI sets aside all of the funds associated with an awarded project to be made available throughout the contract's period of performance. The maximum budget includes all research- and peer-review-related costs. Refer to the [Application Guidelines](#) for further details. Refer to Appendix 2 in the Application Guidelines for a list of allowable and unallowable costs. Note that, although subcontractor direct and indirect costs are considered direct costs to the prime, subcontractor indirect costs should not be included when determining whether the budget exceeds the \$750,000 limit. Note that although subcontractor indirect costs are included in the prime applicant's direct-cost budget, subcontractor indirect costs are not factored when determining adherence to the PFA's direct-cost limit.

Submission Dates

LOIs and applications must be submitted in accordance with the published dates and times listed in the Overview section of this document and in the [PCORI Funding Center](#).

PCORI Online System

To submit an application, you must register with [PCORI Online](#) and submit an LOI and an application for each cycle to which you are applying.

Applicant Resources

PCORI Funding Center <http://www.pcori.org/Cycle-3-2016-methods/>

PCORI Online System <https://pcori.fluxx.io>

PCORI Funding Awards <http://www.pcori.org/research-results-home>

IV. Merit Review

PCORI's merit review process is designed to support the following goals:

- Identify applications that have the strongest potential to help patients, caregivers, clinicians, policy makers, and other healthcare system stakeholders make informed decisions to improve patient outcomes.
- Implement a transparent, fair, objective, and consistent process to identify these applications.
- Elicit high-quality feedback that reflects a diversity of perspectives to ensure that the PCORI-funded research reflects the interests and views of patients and other stakeholders and those who care for them, and that it meets the criteria for scientific rigor.
- Fund projects that fill important evidence gaps and have strong implementation potential.
- Regularly evaluate and continually improve the merit review process and policies in support of PCORI's mission.

PCORI merit review is a multiphase process that includes PFA development; staff evaluation of LOIs; the review panel's preliminary review of full applications; an in-person panel discussion of a subset of full applications (identified by PCORI's Research Priority Area Program staff and based on the preliminary review and program priorities); the Selection Committee's recommendation of applications for funding; and, finally, Board award approval.

Preliminary Review

PCORI conducts rigorous merit review of the full applications it receives. Note that PCORI may eliminate applications from the review process for administrative or scientific reasons (e.g., nonresponsiveness). An application may be administratively withdrawn if it is incomplete; submitted past the stated due date and time; or does not meet the formatting criteria outlined in the [Application Guidelines](#), in the PCORI templates, and in PCORI Online. An application can be scientifically withdrawn if it is not responsive to the guidelines described in this PFA, includes a cost-effectiveness analysis, or otherwise does not meet PCORI programmatic requirements.

PCORI Merit Review Officers (MROs) recruit each panel based on the number of and topic areas represented by invited LOIs. MROs recruit the panel chair, scientist reviewers who are subject matter experts, patient representatives, and representatives of other stakeholder groups. All panel members receive training during the review cycle to ensure that they understand the programmatic and organizational goals of review.

The table on the next page is designed to help applicants understand how the PCORI merit review criteria align with criteria from other funding organizations with which applicants might be familiar (e.g., NIH). Though PCORI's criteria do map to most NIH criteria, there are areas where we ask for different information (i.e., PCORI does not include a criterion that tracks to NIH's innovation criterion, but does include criteria evaluating patient-centeredness and engagement) reflecting PCORI's unique approach.

| Crosswalk of PCORI Merit Review Criteria with NIH Criteria | |
|--|--|
| SIGNIFICANCE | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Study identifies critical methodological gap(s) in PCOR/CER 2. Potential for the study to improve PCOR/CER methods |
| APPROACH | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 3. Scientific merit (research design, analysis, and outcomes) NEW 4. Investigator(s) and environment |
| PCORI-only Merit Review Criteria | |
| PATIENT-CENTEREDNESS/ENGAGEMENT | <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 5. Patient-centeredness 6. Patient and stakeholder engagement |

Below are PCORI’s merit review criteria. PCORI’s merit review panels use these criteria during the preliminary and in-person review phases to evaluate and score all submitted applications, and to ensure consistency and fairness in how applications are evaluated.

Criterion 1. Study identifies critical methodological gap(s) in PCOR/CER

The application should address the following:

- Does the application identify and make a persuasive argument for addressing critical gaps in current PCOR/CER methods as noted in the PCORI Methodology Report or in the published scientific literature?

Criterion 2. Potential for the study to improve PCOR/CER methods

The application should address the following:

- Does the application articulate clearly how the development, refinement, or comparison of methods, and/or the novel application of methods to PCOR/CER improves the validity, trustworthiness, and usefulness of PCOR/CER findings?
- Are the PCOR/CER methods generated from this study likely to inform best practices or standards for PCOR/CER?

Criterion 3. Scientific merit (research design, analysis, and outcomes)

The application should address the following:

- Does the application provide a clear conceptual framework or theoretical model and empirical evidence that inform the study design, key variables or constructs, analytical approach, and relationships being tested or explored?
- Does the application provide a clear Research Plan with rigorous methods that demonstrates adherence to the PCORI Methodology Standards and reflects state-of-the-art thinking and practice in the relevant methodological area?
- Are the study scope and timeline realistic, including the completion of specific scientific and engagement milestones?

NEW Criterion 4. Investigator(s) and environment

This criterion should assess the appropriateness (e.g., qualifications and experience) of the investigator(s)/team and the environment's capacity (e.g., resources, facilities, and equipment) to support the proposed project. It should not be an assessment of the institution's quality.

The application should also address the following:

- How well-qualified are the PIs, collaborators, and other researchers to conduct the proposed activities? Is there evidence of sufficient clinical or statistical expertise (if applicable)?
- Does the investigator or co-investigator have demonstrated experience conducting projects of a similar size, scope, and complexity?
- If the project is collaborative or dual-PI, do the investigators have complementary and integrated expertise? Are the leadership, governance, and organizational structures appropriate for the project?
 - (Dual-PI Option Only) Does the Leadership Plan adequately describe and justify PI roles and areas of responsibility?
- Is the level of effort for each team member appropriate for successfully conducting the proposed work?
- Does the application describe adequate availability of and access to facilities and resources (including patient populations, samples, and collaborative arrangements) to carry out the proposed research?
- Is the institutional support appropriate for the proposed research?

Criterion 5. Patient-centeredness

Note: A study can be patient-centered even if the end-user is not the patient, as long as patients will benefit from the study findings (e.g., methods to produce more valid, trustworthy, and useful PCOR/CER findings).

The application should address the following:

- Does the application articulate clearly how the study will improve PCOR/CER methods that address outcomes of interest to patients and their caregivers?

Criterion 6. Patient and stakeholder engagement

The application should address the following:

- Are patients and/or other relevant stakeholders meaningfully engaged in appropriate phases of the research?
- Does the proposed study demonstrate the principles of reciprocal relationships; co-learning; partnership; and trust, transparency, and honesty?
- If engagement is deemed inappropriate in some or all aspects of the proposed study, does the application justify why it is not appropriate?

In-Person Review

During preliminary review, all administratively and scientifically compliant applications are evaluated and scored based on PCORI's merit review criteria, including evaluation of adherence to the PCORI

Methodology Standards. After PCORI completes the preliminary review, PCORI program staff members evaluate panel scores and critiques to identify a subset of applications for merit reviewers to discuss at the in-person review meeting. Not all submitted applications move forward to in-person review.

During the in-person review, merit reviewers meet to discuss applications and to clarify further the merits of the proposed research. They also identify areas for improvement. Each application is re-scored based on the content of discussion. The Panel Chair and PCORI MRO lead the in-person panel meeting and ensure that all applications receive a fair and thorough review according to the standards outlined in the PFA.

Post-Panel Review

After the in-person meeting, PCORI program staff evaluate final merit review panel scores and comments, identify duplication or synergy among funded projects, and consider the fit of applications within the programmatic vision. Program staff members then recommend projects to a Selection Committee, which includes members of the Board. The Selection Committee considers recommendations and works with staff to identify a slate of applications for possible funding based on merit review scores, programmatic balance and fit, and PCORI's strategic priorities. This slate is then proposed to the Board for consideration and approval.

In addition, PCORI evaluates applicant risk before issuing a PCORI award. Factors considered include financial stability, quality of management systems, audit findings, and past performance on PCORI awards (e.g., compliance with PCORI reporting requirements, conformance to PCORI terms and conditions on previous awards, and timely achievement of milestones). Based on the risk assessment, PCORI may impose special terms and conditions on awardees or withhold contract issuance until such business risks are mitigated. **PCORI will not award new contracts to current awardees with overdue reports (progress, interim, final, etc.) until the overdue reports have been submitted to PCORI.**

Summary Statements and Funding Recommendations

Summary statements are provided to applicants approximately two weeks before funding decisions are announced. **If an application progresses to in-person discussion**, the applicant will receive a summary statement inclusive of:

- In-person panel discussion notes
- Final average overall score
- Preliminary reviewer critiques
- Application quartile, which provides information for applicants to understand how they did relative to other discussed applications

Summary statements for applications that do not progress to in-person discussion include only the preliminary reviewer critiques.

Funding recommendations are made by identifying meritorious applications that fit the programmatic



needs and that satisfactorily address the merit review criteria while adhering to the PCORI Methodology Standards. Programs also consider the funds allotted for the current funding announcement when deciding which applications to recommend to the Board for approval. Applicants to this current cycle's PFA will receive summary statements and notification of the funding status of their application no later than August 2017.

CLOSED