ADVISORY PANEL ON PATIENT ENGAGEMENT MEETING

Via GoToWebinar

Summer 2021 Meeting
July 15, 11:30am-4:15pm EDT
Welcome

Kristin Carman
Director, Public and Patient Engagement

Gwen Darien
Chair, Advisory Panel on Patient Engagement

Neely Williams
Co-chair, Advisory Panel on Patient Engagement
Agenda

Part I (EDT):
- 11:30 AM – Remarks and Roll Call
- 11:50 AM – PCORI's Strategic Planning: Proposed National Priorities for Health
- 12:50 PM – Panel Discussion: Rethinking Systems that Support Research and Engagement for Achieving Health Equity
- 1:20 PM – Lunch Break

Part II (EDT):
- 1:50 PM – PCORI Updates
- 2:10 PM – Expanding Access to Engagement Awards: Challenges and Opportunities for Small Organizations
- 2:40 PM – Researching Engagement: What do we need to Know?
- 3:10 – Stretch Break
- 3:30 – Assessing the Effectiveness of Different Approaches for Combating Institutional Racism
- 4:00 – Announcements and Farewell
- 4:15 – Adjourn
Housekeeping

- Meeting is available to the public and is being recorded
- Members of the public are invited to listen to this teleconference and view the webinar
- No public comment period is scheduled
- A meeting summary and materials will be made available on PCORI’s website following the meeting
- Visit www.pcori.org/events for more information on future activities
GoToWebinar Housekeeping

• Attendees are in listen-only mode

• Submitting questions/comments (PCORI PEAP)
  • Type “I have a question/comment”

• Panelists (PCORI PEAP) can mute/unmute themselves
  • Please keep yourself on mute when not talking

• If you need to leave the meeting early, please send Lisa a note so we aren’t concerned that you are having connectivity issues
How to Ask Questions – Panelist Instructions

You are muted

Type “I have a comment” in the chat
Click to turn webcam on/off. Icon is green when on.
The PCORI PEAP Team

Kristin Carman
Director, Public and Patient Engagement

Lisa Stewart
Senior Engagement Officer, Public and Patient Engagement

Meghan Berman
Program Associate II, Public and Patient Engagement

Aleksandra Modrow
Program Coordinator, Public and Patient Engagement
PEAP Chair and Co-chair

Gwen Darien
Chair
Executive Vice President, Patient Advocacy
Patient Advocate Foundation
Representing: Patients, Caregivers, and Patient Advocates

Neely Williams
Co-chair
Administrator
Community Partners' Network, Inc.
Representing: Patients, Caregivers, and Patient Advocates
Roll Call - Advisory Panel Members

Jennifer Canvasser
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Beth Careyva
Researchers

Tracy Carney
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Gwen Darien
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Sarah Donelson
Industry

Karen L. Fortuna
Researchers

Maureen Fagan
Clinicians

Marilyn Geller
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Crispin Goytia
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

James Harrison
Researchers
Roll Call - Advisory Panel Members

Jill Harrison
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Margarita Holguin
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Matthew Hudson
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Alma McCormick
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Al Richmond
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Anita Roach
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Umair Shah
Policy Makers

Sandy Sufian
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations

Neely Williams
Patients, Caregivers, and Advocacy Organizations
PCORI’s Strategic Planning

Proposed National Priorities for Health

Kristin Carman
Director, Public and Patient Engagement
Purpose of Today’s Conversations

Purpose
- Update on strategic planning process
- Opportunity to engage with the proposed National Priorities for Health and to gather perspectives

Goal
- Identify synergies among the National Priorities and consider what those may mean for the Research Agenda
- Identify critical needs and areas to address through the Research Agenda
Scope of Strategic Planning Activities

- **National Priorities**
- Research Agenda
- PCORnet® strategic vision for PCORI’s next phase
- Methodology Committee focus for PCORI’s next phase
- Commitment Planning and strategies to increase funding
- Scenario Planning based on the changes in landscape and environment
- Priorities from reauthorizing legislation
  - Maternal morbidity and mortality
  - Intellectual and developmental disabilities
  - Full range of outcomes data
## Revised Strategic Framework

Evolving to National Priorities for Health

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRATEGIC IMPERATIVES</th>
<th>MID-TERM GOALS</th>
<th>NATIONAL PRIORITIES FOR HEALTH/LONG-TERM GOALS</th>
<th>IMPACT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>How We Create</td>
<td>What We Accomplish in the Mid-Term</td>
<td>What We Accomplish in the Long-Term</td>
<td>Why We Do It</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engagement</td>
<td>Influence Research</td>
<td>National Priority 1</td>
<td>Better Informed Health Decisions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>Speed Implementation</td>
<td>National Priority 2</td>
<td>Improved Health Outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research</td>
<td>Increase Information</td>
<td>National Priority 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dissemination</td>
<td></td>
<td>National Priority 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td></td>
<td>National Priority 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### National Priorities

1. National Priority 1
2. National Priority 2
3. National Priority 3
4. National Priority 4
5. National Priority 5

### Impact

- Better Informed Health Decisions
- Improved Health Outcomes
Moving Towards the Research Agenda

**National Priorities**
- Broad areas that include the patient-centered comparative effectiveness research (CER) PCORI supports
- Reflect priorities of patients, stakeholders, and the broader healthcare system
- Transparently demonstrate rationale for PCORI investments

**Research Agenda**
- More specific topic areas that address research priorities
- Establishes framework through which PCORI makes investments
- Articulates and reflects evolving evidence needs of patients, stakeholders, and the broader healthcare system

**Topic Development**
- Process of ongoing cultivation and refinement of specific topics and/or sub-questions
- Questions refined with input from patient and stakeholder partners
- Articulates focused areas for funding
Picking Up From When We Last Spoke

• In October 2020, the PEAP considered the revised strategic framework and what the reframing meant for National Priorities.

• What we heard from the panel:
  • Addressing **disparities** is more important than ever
  • Consider **implementation science** and how patient-centered outcomes research could be integrated with this domain
  • Importance of **communication** in research and in practice, particularly around how to communicate uncertainty in clinical outcomes
  • Consider supporting a **learning health system** as this could be relevant for PCORI’s work

• This was reinforced and complemented by input from other convenings, meetings, and discussions.
NATIONAL PRIORITIES FOR HEALTH

MORE TO COME: Continued Engagement, Related Activities, and Strategic Plan

PUBLIC INPUT

External Priorities of Others

Public Comment

Congressional Re-authorization

Stakeholder Input

Learnings from the Past Decade

Stakeholder Input

Working Groups

Public Comment

Priorities on the Health Horizon, National Academy of Medicine Convenings

NATIONAL PRIORITIES FOR HEALTH

RESEARCH AGENDA

PUBLIC INPUT

PUBLIC INPUT

PUBLIC INPUT

PUBLIC INPUT
Developing National Priorities for Health

- The themes below resulted from across the inputs.
- At its April 2021, meeting, PCORI Board of Governors supported developing and further shaping these themes for National Priorities for Health.

- Health Equity
- Emerging Innovations
- Communication, Dissemination, Implementation
- Infrastructure & Workforce
- Learning Health System
Going from Themes to National Priorities

Themes

Health Equity
Emerging Innovations
Communication, Dissemination, Implementation
Infrastructure & Workforce
Learning Health System

Achieve Health Equity
Increase Evidence for Existing Interventions and Emerging Innovations in Health
Advance the Science of Dissemination, Implementation, and Health Communication
Enhance Infrastructure to Accelerate Patient-Centered Outcomes Research
Accelerate Progress Toward an Integrated Learning Health System

Proposed National Priorities for Health
Proposed National Priorities for Health
Creating Synergistic Opportunities for Progress

- At its June 2021 meeting, PCORI Board of Governors approved the National Priorities for Health to be posted for public comment which opened last month.
Looking Forward to Hearing From You

• What are critical needs and areas to address through the National Priorities?

• What synergies exist among the National Priorities? What might those mean for the Research Agenda?

• Imagine 5 years in the future, what potential impacts could these National Priorities for Health have on comparative clinical effectiveness research? Are there specific areas that PCORI could make a real impact on in this timeframe?
Proposed National Priorities for Health
Increase Evidence for Existing Interventions and Emerging Innovations in Health

Strengthen and expand ongoing comparative clinical effectiveness research focused on both existing interventions and emerging innovations to improve healthcare practice, health outcomes, and health equity.

Strategies to address this priority include:

• Monitor the research landscape for potentially high impact innovations
• Evaluate existing and emerging innovations in clinical care interventions, systems changes, healthcare delivery, technologies, public health, and social determinants of health
• Study unintended consequences, adverse events, barriers to care, burdens and economic impacts, and widened disparities in care outcomes associated with existing and emerging innovations
• Expand scope of stakeholders engaged in pcori’s work from topic inception through implementation of the results
• Emphasize inclusion of populations who are underserved, under-represented, and disadvantaged in CER research
• Support CER of evidence gaps in diverse populations, geographic areas, and settings to foster equitable uptake practices
Proposed National Priorities for Health
Enhance Infrastructure to Accelerate Patient-Centered Outcomes Research

Enhance the infrastructure that facilitates patient-centered outcomes research to drive lasting improvements in health and transformation of both the research enterprise and care delivery

Strategies to address this priority include:

• Develop and expand the universe of engaged patients and communities and representative leadership, research workforce, and clinician partners
• Advance the accessibility and utilization of real-world data
• Build synergies and leverage current work within health systems and by stakeholders
• Integrate patient-centered outcomes research findings into learning health systems
Proposed National Priorities for Health
Advance the Science of Dissemination, Implementation, and Health Communication

Advance the scientific evidence for and the practice of dissemination, implementation, and health communication to accelerate the movement of comparative clinical effectiveness research results into practice

Strategies to address this priority include:

• Fund CER studies of delivery or implementation strategies
• Communicate research findings effectively and in ways tailored to diverse audiences
• Actively deliver information to targeted audiences to use to inform healthcare discussions and decisions
• Promote the uptake of research findings into practice, to contribute to improved healthcare and health
• Engage stakeholders and communities in strategic partnerships across diverse settings to improve the uptake of evidence
Proposed National Priorities for Health
Accelerate Progress Toward an Integrated Learning Health System

Foster actionable, timely, place-based, and transformative improvements in patient-centered experiences, care provision, and ultimately improved health outcomes through collaborative, multisectoral research to support a health system that serves the needs and preferences of individuals.

Strategies to address this priority include:

- Fund multi-sector interventional CER focused on health outcomes and grounded in the context of specific settings, communities, and needs
- Implement research on precision and personalized medicine and whole-person health into practice
- Incorporate the full range of outcomes to influence value that encompasses diverse outcomes and perspectives among patients, families, caregivers, and providers
- Formalize partnerships to ensure an integrated learning health system that meets the needs of patients and caregivers
- Use data analytic and informatic tools to inform and enable real-time decision making
Proposed National Priorities for Health
Achieve Health Equity

Expand stakeholder engagement, research, and dissemination approaches that lead to continued progress towards achieving health equity in the United States

Strategies to address this priority include:

• Fund CER to improve health outcomes for individuals of all backgrounds
• Strengthen efforts to support inclusive and diverse stakeholder engagement
• Disseminate and implement research findings with the intention of informing broader health equity strategies
• Collaborate with health, research, advocacy, social service, educational, and other organizations to reduce health inequities
• Identify and fund novel ways to support the professional development and increase the engagement of investigators of color, investigators with disabilities, and populations who are historically under-represented in research endeavors
• What synergies exist among the National Priorities? What might those mean for the Research Agenda?

• Imagine 5 years in the future, what potential impacts could these National Priorities for Health have on comparative clinical effectiveness research? Are there specific areas that PCORI could make a real impact on in this timeframe?
Public Comment Period

- Proposed National Priorities for Health

- Submit comments during PCORI’s 60-Day Public Comment Period (June 28 – August 27)

- pcori.org/nationalprioritiesforhealth
Regional Stakeholder Events

- Three Regional Events throughout August – October
  - West Virginia
  - Colorado
  - Maryland

- Partnering with community leaders, these events are an opportunity to engage new patient and stakeholder audiences and gather unique and diverse perspectives.
Advisory Panel Perspectives

Rethinking Systems that Support Research and Engagement for Achieving Health Equity
Discussion

• As we look toward a future of investing in new approaches, what should be the blueprint for research organizations and partnerships that are equity-focused?

• How should they be structured and operate?

• Are there examples from other sectors to learn from?
Lunch Break

1:20 – 1:50pm EDT
PCORI Updates

Michelle Johnston-Fleece
Senior Program Officer, Public & Patient Engagement

William Lawrence
Senior Clinical Advisor, Office of the Chief Engagement and Dissemination Officer

Kristin Carman
Director, Public & Patient Engagement
1. Building Effective Multi-Stakeholder Research Teams
Building Effective Multi-Stakeholder Research Teams: Available Now!

The goal of the *Building Effective Multi-Stakeholder Research Teams* website is to provide practical guidance to help stakeholders and researchers work together as equal partners.

Access the website at [www.pcori.org/research-teams](http://www.pcori.org/research-teams)
### Website Topics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Engaging Stakeholders</th>
<th>Working as a Team</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inclusion: The Starting Point for Effective Teams</strong></td>
<td><strong>Best Practices in Multi-Stakeholder Team Science</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Addressing Bias and Exclusion</td>
<td>• Creating a Shared Vision</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Establishing and Maintaining Trust</td>
<td>• Defining Roles and Responsibilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Enabling Multiple Points of View</td>
<td>• Establishing Team Norms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Best Practices in Engaging Stakeholders</strong></td>
<td><strong>Leading and Contributing to Team Decisions</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Planning for Collaboration</td>
<td>• Leading and Contributing to Productive Meetings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Preparing Team Members for Partnership</td>
<td>• Team-Driven Decision Models and Processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Practicing Effective Communication</td>
<td>• Building Synergy Through Productive Disagreement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Addressing Contextual Challenges to Engagement</strong></td>
<td><strong>Solving Challenges and Barriers to Teamwork</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Institutional Barriers to Stakeholder Engagement</td>
<td>• Collaborative Problem Solving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Individual Challenges to Stakeholder Engagement</td>
<td>• Negotiating Conflict</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Solving Common Engagement Problems</td>
<td>• Sustaining Engagement</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Resource Examples, cont.

Patient-Centered Outcomes Research

The Benefits of Multi-Stakeholder Engagement

How to Use the Benefits of Multi-Stakeholder Engagement Slide Deck

- This slide deck was developed by the Patient-Centered Outcomes Research Institute (PCORI) as part of the Building Effective Multi-Stakeholder Research Teams website (https://www.pcori.org/events/2020/building-effective-multi-stakeholder-research-teams).

- It is organized into four sections:
  I. What is patient-centered outcomes research (PCOR)?
  II. Why engage patients and other stakeholders in PCOR?
  III. How can multi-stakeholder engagement improve the research process and results?
  IV. How can organizations support multi-stakeholder engagement in PCOR?

Lesson 3: Keep communicating
Thank You!

• Questions?
  • Contact Michelle Johnston-Fleece
    • mfleece@pcori.org
2.

Engagement Rubric Update
Engagement Rubric Update

• The Engagement Rubric was originally developed in 2014 to provide Awardees with guidance on how and when to engage stakeholder partners.
• The next version of the Engagement Rubric will:
  • Be a cross-departmental effort
  • Use knowledge developed in the natural laboratory created during PCORI’s first ten years
  • Address facilitators and barriers to engagement
  • Provide guidance and tools to support engagement.
  • Reflect PCORI’s key priorities for advancing engagement – both within PCORI and in the field.

The ultimate goal of the Rubric is to promote and support meaningful and sustainable engagement in CER/PCOR, and to influence research to be more patient-centered, relevant, and useful.
Opportunities for PEAP Engagement

- Sharing other organizational policies, frameworks
- Key informant interviews/focus groups
- Stakeholder convening meeting
- Input at PEAP meetings
- Ad hoc consultation
3. COVID-19 Update
PCORI’s COVID-19 Portfolio:
122 Enhancements, 9 Targeted Research Studies, and
25 Special Cycle Engagement Awards

122 Enhancements Awarded, $34.8 million

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engagement Award</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>$7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D&amp;I Enhancements</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$5.8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Enhancements</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>$19.2 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methods Enhancements</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>$2.3 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCORnet Enhancement</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>$526,020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

34 New Awards in Research & Engagement, $33.5 million

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Engagement Award</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$3.7 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Targeted Research</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$29.8 million</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Studies (COVID tPFA)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Information current as of June, 2021
Increasing Vaccine Confidence among Long-Term Care Workers PFA

- Using FAST PFA mechanism; will follow more rapid review and award timeline
- PFA posted April 13, 2021
- Up to 3 years
- Up to $5,000,000
- What interventions are effective in increasing COVID-19 vaccine confidence and uptake among LTC workers?
ACTIV-6

- ACTIV-6 is a randomized, blinded, and placebo-controlled Phase III platform trial to test the efficacy of repurposed medications to treat COVID-19 in the outpatient setting.
  - 5-8 arms determined by existing ACTIV medication prioritization committee
  - Conducted under an IND
- PCORnet is leading ACTIV-6, serving as the Clinical Coordinating Center, Data Coordinating Center, and contributing approximately 40 vanguard sites.
  - Leverage HERO-HCQ experience
  - Enhance patient-centeredness
- To enroll 15,000 patients across estimated 80+ sites
Horizon Scanning COVID-19 Supplement

- **COVID-19 six priority issues**
  - Devices
  - Prognostic/Risk factor
  - Diagnostic
  - Health Systems
  - Treatments
  - Vaccines
- **Bi-weekly Scan (COVID-19 Only)**
- **COVID-19 Status Report**
- **COVID-19 High Impact Report**
4. PCORI Funding Announcements
PCORI Funding Opportunities

Maternal Morbidity and Mortality
- Applications under review
- Awards to be announced: March 2022

Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities
- Applications under review
- Awards to be announced: March 2022

Urinary Incontinence
- Applications under review
- Awards announced: March 2022
• Upcoming: PFA will be posted September 2021
• Awards to be announced: July 2022

• Upcoming: PFA will be posted October 2021
• Awards to be announced: August 2022

• Upcoming: PFA will be posted October 2021
• Awards to be announced: August 2022
Expanding Access to Engagement Awards

Challenges and Opportunities for Small Organizations

Karen Martin
Director, Eugene Washington PCORI Engagement Awards

Kate Boyd
Program Officer, Eugene Washington PCORI Engagement Awards
Our Goals

- Elicit input from stakeholders—most with previous experience related to the PCORI application and contract lifecycle—with a focus on the Engagement Awards
- Assess challenges and other critical barriers that stakeholders may have experienced during the application and contract lifecycle
- Identify potential solutions to ensure that the PCORI Engagement Award program is equitably accessible to all, including small organizations and investigators who are new to PCOR/CER
Engagement Awards
What is Working and Barriers

What is Working
- Funding
- Communication with PCORI
- Engagement Award Support

Barriers
- Lack of awareness of PCORI EA awards
- Lack of clarity around what PCORI EA funds
- Administrative burden
- PCORI website
Recommendations and Final Product Ideas

**Recommendations**
- Mentorship
- Flexible Funding Opportunities
- Matchmaking
- Accessibility of Materials

**Additional Resources**
- Step-by-step tutorials/checklists

**Final Product Ideas**
- Replicable Work
  - Toolkits, infographics, videos, training
- Strategic Plan and/or research roadmap to outline the future of the organization and engaged research (e.g., 1-, 3-, 5-year plans)
Discussion

• What are your reactions to these findings?
• What resonates with you?
• In your view, what is most important for PCORI to know?
Thank You!

• Questions?
  • Contact the Eugene Washington PCORI Engagement Awards program
    • ea@pcori.org
    • 202-370-9312

• https://www.pcori.org/engagement/eugene-washington-pcori-engagement-awards
Appendix
Informants

• Scott Berns, President and CEO, National Institute for Children’s Health Quality, and Co-founder, The Progeria Research Foundation

• Jen Brown, Co-director/Co-founder, Alliance for Research in Chicagoland Communities at Northwestern University

• Laverne Morrow Carter, President, Research, Education and Social Solutions, Inc.

• Crispin Goytia-Vasquez, Program Manager, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai and Patient/Caregiver Principal Investigator for the INSIGHT network

• Alma McCormick, Executive Director, Messengers for Health

• Fatema Mirza, Executive Director, Worry Free Community

• Kasha Morris, Secretary and Co-founder, Tango2 Research Foundation

• Al Richmond, Executive Director, Community-Campus Partnerships for Health

• Deneen Robinson, Policy Director, The Afiya Center

• Brendaly Rodriguez, Director, Chula Vista Community Collaborative

• Regina Greer-Smith, President, Healthcare Research Associates, LLC
Researching Engagement

What do we need to know?

Julie Kennedy Lesch
Senior Engagement Officer, Public & Patient Engagement

Rachel Hemphill
Program Officer, Evaluation & Analysis
Goals for Today

We want to hear your priorities and perspectives on *studying* engagement.

What can we learn by studying engagement that would make engagement easier, more inclusive and effective for study teams and partners?
We have been studying our own projects, and we know some things about the nature of engagement, the ways engagement can affect study conduct, and its challenges.

But the generation of evidence on engagement approaches, as well as the ultimate outcomes of engagement, has been limited...

So, we do not yet have answers to critical questions being asked by awardees and others.
What we know about Engagement

• Engagement is **feasible in all phases of CER and PCOR** and with a variety of stakeholders;
• Engagement can **influence the design and conduct of research studies** in meaningful ways including acceptability, feasibility, quality, and relevance;
• Engagement can **benefit** the patient and stakeholder partners, communities, and researchers involved;
• PCORI’s approach to engagement **influences the culture of healthcare research**;
• There are **known challenges** to address, including those related to infrastructure and resources, people and teams, organizational policies, and balancing views and priorities.
Areas for Exploration

- How to measure and define successful engagement
- Understanding the supports needed to achieve successful engagement
- When to use certain engagement strategies
- Determining whether a study is patient-centered
Using Padlet

- Padlet can be used to supplement your response to the discussion questions on the following slide (https://padlet.com/czahlis/SoE; link also in the Chat)

Note: If you do not have a Padlet account, your response will be anonymous. You can identify yourself by writing your name, if you wish.
Questions for Discussion (on Padlet)

• What are the problems or challenges that you see in engagement that research could help us overcome?

• What are the benefits of engagement that you would like research to help us demonstrate?

• What questions do you think will provide the most actionable information for future research teams?
Stretch Break

3:10 – 3:30pm EDT
Assessing the Effectiveness of Different Approaches for Combating Institutional Racism

Hillary Bracken
Program Officer, Healthcare Delivery & Disparities

Lisa Stewart
Senior Engagement Officer, Public & Patient Engagement
Measuring institutional racism and discrimination is needed to promote transparency and accountability in healthcare settings.

Prior research has focused on measuring patient-reported experience of racism, discrimination, and bias:
- Several validated scales exist (e.g., Everyday Discrimination Scale and Major Experiences of Discrimination Scale)

However, additional measures are needed to assess the impact of interventions to address institutional racism and discrimination.
What Metrics Matter?

• What outcome measures would be the most meaningful to you for assessing the comparative effectiveness of different approaches to address bias, racism, and discrimination in the health system?
Engaging Communities in the Measurement of Institutional Racism and Discrimination

What other measures are important?

- What types of patient-reported measures would be meaningful?
  - For example, patients’ reports of clinicians’ conversational pace and tone and body language or their perception of the shared decision-making process.

- What types of resource use measures would be meaningful?
  - For example, the amount of time clinicians spend with patients of color; or receipt of guideline-recommended preventive, curative, or promotive care to patients of different gender or sexual orientation.

- What types of organizational change measures given the short duration (5 years) of many research studies would be meaningful?
Appreciation and Farewells

Kristin Carman
Director, Public and Patient Engagement

Lisa Stewart
Senior Engagement Officer, Public and Patient Engagement
Thank you to:

- Jennifer Canvasser
- Gwen Darien
- Sarah Donelson
- Maureen Fagan
- Marilyn Geller
- James Harrison
- Jill Harrison
- Matthew Hudson
- Anita Roach
- Umair Shah
- Sandy Sufian

Celebration forthcoming!
Announcements
Upcoming Events

Mark your calendars!

• Public Comment Period (*June 28-August 27*)

• Board Meetings (*July 27, August 24, September 27-28, October 26, November 15-16, and December 1-2*)

• Farewell/Welcome event (*early October*)

• Annual Meeting (*November 17-19*)
Post-Meeting Survey

• Don’t forget!
  • Post-meeting survey: https://survey.alchemer.com/s3/6424275/PEAP-Summer-2021-Meeting-Post-Event-Survey

• Your feedback will be carefully considered when planning future meetings

• Feedback incorporated to-date:
  • Shorter presentations from PCORI staff
  • Greater opportunity for input/feedback
  • More time for breaks during virtual meetings
Adjourn