Engaging Stakeholders for a Patient Centered Research Agenda for CKD in Delaware
Conference Evaluation Results

Conference date: September 16, 2016
Conference name: Partners in Research: Developing a Patient-Centered Research Agenda for Chronic Kidney Disease
Survey Results

Results were graphed to illustrate feedback regarding:

- General Questions about the overall day
- 4 Questions about the different speakers
- Questions about the workgroup sessions

- Total number of participants: 180 registered
  - 59 participants returned the conference surveys (morning and lunch sessions)
- Approximately 80 participants to the workgroup sessions
  - 34 participants returned the workgroup afternoon sessions surveys
Pre Workgroup Sessions
Respondent Demographics

### Gender

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ethnicity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Hispanic</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Race

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Indian or ...</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian or Pacific Islander</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black/African American</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blank</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Satisfaction with the Overall Conference

The Conference Was Well Organized

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(blank)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Satisfaction with the Overall Conference

The Topics Developed Were Interesting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(blank)</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Satisfaction with the Overall Conference

The Topics Chosen Addressed My Concerns

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)

Number of respondents
Satisfaction with the Overall Conference

The Number of Speakers Was Appropriate

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(blank)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of respondents: [Chart with bars for each category]
Satisfaction with the Overall Conference

There Were Too Many Speakers

Number of respondents

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)

Christian Care Health System
Value Institute
Satisfaction with the Overall Conference

There Was Enough Time to Ask Questions to the Speakers

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)

Number of respondents

0 2 4 6 8 10 12 14 16 18
Satisfaction with the Overall Conference

The Setting of the Room Was Appropriate for This Conference

Number of respondents

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)
Satisfaction with the Overall Conference

There Were Enough Breaks

Number of respondents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Response</th>
<th>Number of Respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(blank)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Value Institute
Satisfaction with the Overall Conference

Meals and Snacks Had Enough Food Choices

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of respondents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disagree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutral</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly Agree</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(blank)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Strongly Disagree: 0
- Disagree: 5
- Neutral: 10
- Agree: 15
- Strongly Agree: 20
- (blank): 25
Information was useful

- Blank
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree

Speaker 1: [Bars representing data]
Speaker 2: [Bars representing data]
Speaker 3: [Bars representing data]
Speaker 4: [Bars representing data]
Speaker 5: [Bars representing data]
Speaker 6: [Bars representing data]
Speaker 7: [Bars representing data]
Speaker 8: [Bars representing data]
Presentation Free of Commercial Bias

- **Speaker 1**: 60% Strongly Agree, 40% Agree
- **Speaker 2**: 70% Strongly Agree, 30% Agree
- **Speaker 3**: 80% Strongly Agree
- **Speaker 4**: 90% Strongly Agree
- **Speaker 5**: 100% Strongly Agree
- **Speaker 6**: 90% Strongly Agree
- **Speaker 7**: 80% Strongly Agree
- **Speaker 8**: 70% Strongly Agree

Categories:
- Blank
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
Workgroup Sessions
Attendee Self-Identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Patient/Caregiver</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare Provider</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Researcher</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrator/Payer</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(blank)</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Satisfaction with workgroup sessions

The Setting Was Appropriate for the Workgroup

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)
The Goals of the Workgroup Were Clear

Satisfaction with workgroup sessions

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)
Satisfaction with workgroup sessions

There Was Enough Time to Write Down Research Questions that Matter
Satisfaction with workgroup sessions

The Patients/Caregivers Had Enough Time to Discuss the Research Questions that Matter to Them

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)

Value Institute
The Healthcare Providers/Researchers/Administrators Had Enough Time to Discuss the Research Questions that Matter to Them

Satisfaction with workgroup sessions
Satisfaction with workgroup sessions

The Discussion Was Interesting

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)

Survey Results:
- Strongly Agree: 25
- Agree: 10
- Neutral: 5
- Disagree: 1
- Strongly Disagree: 0
- (blank): 0

Value Institute
Satisfaction with workgroup sessions

The Ranking Exercise Was Well Organized

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)
Satisfaction with workgroup sessions

The Ranking Exercise was Meaningful

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
- (blank)
Some written feedback about the workgroups

- “Discussion went off topic often and many questions were not relative to group.”

- “Needed more time. We just wrote down Questions, Minimal Discussion.”

- “One of the patients in our group stated that we should have had more time to see the compiled results of the rankings - I agree.”
Some written feedback about the conference

- “Great conference!! Good mix of speakers, including patients. Thank you!”
- “Patient Perspectives: Awesome!!”
- “The setting of the room was much too cold.”
- “Unequal: tough graphs to follow”
- “Why patient centered outcomes matter: excellent “
- “Excellent agenda! Maybe add an interactive activity mid-morning for attendees to have an opportunity to connect early.”
- “Acronym definition list :) for patients/administrative teams.”
- “Room was too cool all day - brrrr. “
- “Good choices for luncheon presentations!”